arXiv:1704.01675v1 [math.AG] 6 Apr 2017

PERIOD MAP OF TRIPLE COVERINGS OF $\mathbf{P}^2$ AND MIXED HODGE STRUCTURES

K. MATSUMOTO AND T. TERASOMA

Abstract. We study a period map for triple coverings of $\mathbf{P}^2$ branching along special configurations of 6 lines. Though the moduli space of special configurations is a two dimensional variety, the minimal models of the coverings form a one parameter family of K3 surfaces. We extract extra one dimensional information from the mixed Hodge structure on the second relative homology group. We define the period map from the moduli space of marked configurations to the domain $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}^2$, where $\mathbf{B}$ is the right half plane, and give a defining equation of its image by a theta function. We write down the inverse of the period map using theta functions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Triple covering of $\mathbf{P}^2$ branching along special configuration of 6 lines 5
3. Period integrals of the monodromy curve 10
4. Transcendental lattice of K3 surface $\widetilde{X}$ 14
5. Period integral for K3 surfaces in $M \subset \mathcal{M}$. 19
6. Period map for marked triple coverings of $\mathbf{P}^2$ 23
7. Theta values and parameters of configurations 32
References 36

1. Introduction

1.1. **Introduction.** Period integrals of cyclic coverings of $\mathbf{P}^2$ branching along configurations of several lines satisfy a hypergeometric system of linear differential equations. In the paper [MSTY], we study several examples of *reducible* hypergeometric systems of differential equations. We treat a special case where the branch index is equal to $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3})$ with the notation in [MSTY] and the branching lines $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_6$ satisfy the following conditions.

(1) The intersection $\ell_i \cap \ell_j \cap \ell_k$ is a point for $(i, j, k) = (1, 3, 6), (2, 4, 6)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32G20,33C65,14H42.

(2) The intersection $\ell_i \cap \ell_j \cap \ell_k$ is an empty set if $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$ and $(i,j,k) \neq (1,3,6), (2,4,6)$.

A configuration of 6 lines $\vec{\ell} = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_6\}$ satisfying the above condition is simply called a *special configuration*. By changing projective coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^2$, we normalize ℓ_i as

$$\ell_1 = p, \quad \ell_2 = q, \quad \ell_3 = 1-p, \quad \ell_4 = 1-q,$$
$$\ell_5 = 1 - x_1 p - x_2 q,$$

and ℓ_6 is the infinite line, where p and q are inhomogeneous coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^2$. We identify the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of special configurations of 6 lines with

$$\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \mid x_1 x_2 (x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1)(x_1 + x_2 - 1) \neq 0\}$$

by considering the the condition (2) for the normalized lines.

For an element $\vec{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}$, we have a branched cyclic triple covering $X = X_{\vec{\ell}}$ of $\mathbf{P}^2$ defined by

$$X : z^3 = p^{-2} q^{-2} (1-p)^{-2} (1-q)^{-2} (1 - x_1 p - x_2 q)^{-2}.$$

The period integrals of X satisfy Appell's hypergeometric system F_2 of differential equations with the parameters $(a; b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2) = (2/3; 1/3, 1/3; 2/3, 2/3)$.

In this paper, we study the period map for a family $\{X_{\vec{\ell}}\}_{\vec{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}}$.

One can see that the minimal compact smooth model $\widetilde{X}$ of X is a K3 surface. Let E_2 be the divisor of $\widetilde{X}$ lying over the intersection point $\ell_2 \cap \ell_4 \cap \ell_6$. Let ρ be an automorphism of X defined by $(p, q, z) \mapsto (p, q, \omega z)$ where $\omega = \dfrac{-1 + \mathbf{i}\sqrt{3}}{2}$. The invariant part of the relative cohomology $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})$ under the action of ρ is denoted by $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})^\rho$. Then the quotient group

$$H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho = H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z}) / H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})^\rho$$

becomes a free $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-module of rank 3. Here $\mathbf{Z}[\rho] = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}\rho$, with the relation $\rho - 2 + \rho + 1 = 0$.

By Deligne [D], $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$ is equipped with a natural mixed Hodge structure, whose weight (-2)-part is identified with $H_2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$. The module $H_2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$ is identified with the generic transcendental part and becomes a lattice by the intersection form. In other words, the module $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$ is equipped with the graded polarized mixed Hodge structure. In this paper, we treat the period map for the mixed Hodge structure $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$ and its inverse map.

To formulate the period map, we define a marking (Definition 6.3) of the Hodge structure on $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$. We introduce a standard module $W_{(-1)} = \langle B_1, B_2, B_3 \rangle_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]}$ equipped with a filtration $W_{(-2)} = \langle B_1, B_2 \rangle \subset W_{(-1)}$ and a symmetric bilinear form on $W_{(-2)}$. The marking of $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$ is defined as a $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-isomorphism

$$\mu : W_{(-1)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$$

satisfying some properties (see Definition 6.3). A marked configuration $(\overrightarrow{\ell}, \mu) = ((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ is defined as a pair of a point $\overrightarrow{\ell} = (x_1, x_2)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and a marking μ of $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$. The moduli space of marked configurations is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$.

We set $\mathbf{B} = \{\eta \in \mathbf{C} \mid \ \mathrm{Re}(\eta) > 0\}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}^2$. In §6, using the markings μ of the mixed Hodge structures, we define the period map

$$per : \mathcal{M}_{mk} \to \mathcal{D}$$

as follows. Let χ be a character of the group $\langle \rho \rangle$ generated by $\rho \in Aut(X)$ defined by $\chi(\rho) = \omega$ and its complex conjugate is denoted by $\overline{\chi}$. Then the χ-part $F^2H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)(\chi)$ (resp. $\overline{\chi}$-part $F^1H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)(\overline{\chi})$) of $F^2H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)$ (resp. $F^1H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)$) is a 1-dimensional vector space. We choose a basis ξ (resp. $\overline{\xi}$) of it. Let $\langle *, * \rangle$ be the natural pairing between $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho$ and the de Rham cohomology with compact support $H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)$. We define a (unnormalized) period matrix $P((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ by

$$P((x_1, x_2), \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \\ p_{31} & p_{32} \end{pmatrix}, \quad p_{i1} = \langle \mu(B_i), \xi \rangle, p_{i2} = \langle \mu(B_i), \overline{\xi} \rangle.$$

For an element $((x_1, x_2), \mu) \in \mathcal{M}_{mk}$, we define the period $per((x_1, x_2), \mu) = (\eta, z) \in \mathcal{D}$ by ratios

$$\eta = \frac{p_{11}}{p_{21}}, \quad z = (z_1, z_2) = (\frac{p_{31}}{p_{21}}, \frac{p_{32}}{p_{22}})$$

of entries of the period matrix $P((x_1, x_2), \mu)$. Note that the map per does not depend on the choice of ξ and $\overline{\xi}$.

To study the period $P((x_1, x_2), \mu)$, we give two elliptic fibrations ϵ_1, ϵ_2 on the K3 surface $\widetilde{X}$ in §2. The fibration ϵ_1 (resp. ϵ_2) is an isotrivial family with a finite monodromy group. The monodromy covering $C \to \mathbf{P}^1$ is defined by the minimal covering of $\mathbf{P}^1$ such that the fibration ϵ_1 is trivialized by the base change by the covering map $C \to \mathbf{P}^1$. Then C is a triple covering of $\mathbf{P}^1$ depending only on

$$t = \frac{1 - x_1 - x_2}{(1 - x_1)(1 - x_2)},$$

and its genus is 2. Using the fibration ϵ_1, we obtain a rational map $\lambda : E \times C_t \dashrightarrow \widetilde{X}$. The image $\epsilon_1(E_2)$ of E_2 under the map ϵ_1 is a one point and the inverse image of $\epsilon(E_2)$ in C is denoted by Σ_1. The rational map λ induces an injection of mixed Hodge structures

$$H_1(C, \Sigma_1, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H_1(E, \mathbf{Z}) \to H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2, \mathbf{Z})_\rho. \tag{1.1}$$

Via this map, we study the structure of the generic transcendental lattice T_X of the K3 surface $\widetilde{X}$ in §4.

In §3, we study the relative homology $H_1(C, \Sigma_1, \mathbf{Z})$. Let $H_0(\Sigma_1, \mathbf{Z})^0$ be the kernel of the natural map $H_0(\Sigma_1, \mathbf{Z}) \to H_0(C, \mathbf{Z})$. Then $H_0(\Sigma_1, \mathbf{Z})^0$ is a free

$\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-module generated by $(1-\rho)p_1$, where p_1 is an element in Σ_1. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H_1(C,\mathbf{Z}) \to H_1(C,\Sigma_1,\mathbf{Z}) \to H_0(\Sigma_1,\mathbf{Z})^0 \to 0 \tag{1.2}$$

arising from the weight filtration of the mixed Hodge structure on $H_1(C,\Sigma_1,\mathbf{Z})$. Using the injection (1.1) and the marking μ, we obtain

(1) a symplectic basis $\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2\}$ of $H_1(C,\mathbf{Z})$ satisfying $\alpha_1=\rho(\beta_2), \alpha_2=\rho(\beta_1)$, and
(2) a lifting β_3 of $(1-\rho)p_1$ in $H_1(C,\Sigma_1,\mathbf{Z})$.

Let $F^1H^1_{dR,c}(C-\Sigma_1)$ be the first Hodge filtration in $H^1_{dR,c}(C-\Sigma_1)$. Via the exact sequence (1.2), we have an isomorphism for the Hodge filtrations

$$F^1H^1_{dR,c}(C-\Sigma_1) \simeq F^1H^0_{dR}(C) = H^0(C,\Omega^1_C).$$

Let ψ'_1,ψ'_2 be the basis of $H^0(C,\Omega^1_C)$ normalized by $\langle\beta_i,\psi'_j\rangle=\delta_{ij}$, where $\langle *,*\rangle$ is the natural pairing between $H_1(C,\Sigma_1,\mathbf{Z})$ and $H^1_{dR,c}(C-\Sigma_1)$. We define the normalized period matrix $\tau\in M(2,\mathbf{C})$ of C and incomplete integrals $\zeta\in\mathbf{C}^2$ by

$$\tau=\begin{pmatrix}\langle\alpha_1,\psi'_1\rangle,\langle\alpha_1,\psi'_2\rangle\\ \langle\alpha_2,\psi'_1\rangle,\langle\alpha_2,\psi'_2\rangle\end{pmatrix},\quad \zeta=\left(\langle\frac{\beta_3}{1-\rho},\psi'_1\rangle,\langle\frac{\beta_3}{1-\rho},\psi'_2\rangle\right).$$

Then τ belongs to the Siegel upper half space $\mathbf{H}_2=\{\tau\in M_2(\mathbf{C})\mid \tau={}^t\tau, \mathrm{Im}(\tau)>0\}$ and the class of ζ is in the image of Abel-Jacobi map $C\to J(C)=\mathbf{C}^2/(\mathbf{Z}^2\tau+\mathbf{Z}^2)$. Using the fact that the injection (1.1) is a homomorphism of mixed Hodge structures with an action of ρ, we can express τ and ζ as

$$\tau=\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{-3}\eta^{-1} & -1\\ -1 & \sqrt{-3}\eta\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\zeta=\frac{1}{2}\left((\frac{z_1}{1-\omega}-\frac{z_2}{1-\omega^2})\eta^{-1},\frac{z_1}{1-\omega}+\frac{z_2}{1-\omega^2}\right), \tag{1.3}$$

where $per((x_1,x_2),\mu)=(\eta,z_1,z_2)$.

For an element $(x_1,x_2)\in\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the conditions $x_1,x_2\in\mathbf{R}, 0<x_1, 0<x_2, x_1+x_2<1$, we define explicit relative topological 2-cycles $\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2,\Gamma_3$ and Γ_4 of $H_2(\widetilde{X},E_1\cup E_2,\mathbf{Z})$ in §5.1. Using these topological 2-cycles, we define a marking μ in Example 6.6.2. For this marking μ and a suitable choice of $\xi,\overline{\xi}$, the pairings $\langle\mu(B_i),\xi\rangle$ and $\langle\mu(B_i),\overline{\xi}\rangle$ are expressed in terms of hypergeometric integrals (5.2).

Let Γ be the unitary group with the coefficients in $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$ for the hermitian matrix $U=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}$, and let $G=\Gamma\ltimes\mathbf{Z}[\rho]^2$ be the semi-direct product of Γ and $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]^2$ obtained by the standard right action of Γ on $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]^2$. Then the group G is identified with a subgroup of $GL(3,\mathbf{Z}[\rho])$. Its principal congruence subgroup of level $(1-\rho)$ is denoted by $G(1-\rho)$. Then the group $G(1-\rho)$ acts on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$ by the action on the set of markings. Using the relation (1.3), we define an embedding $\jmath_{\mathcal{D}}:\mathbf{B}\times\mathbf{C}^2\to\mathbf{H}_2\times\mathbf{C}^2$ by

$\jmath_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta, z) = (\tau, \zeta)$, which is equivariant under the group homomorphism $\jmath_G : G(1-\rho) \to Sp_2(\mathbf{Z}) \ltimes \mathbf{Z}^4$.

In §7, we prove that the image $per(\mathcal{M}_{mk}) \subset \mathcal{D}$ under the period map is a codimension one complex analytic space $V(\vartheta)$ defined by the zero locus of a theta function ϑ for the period matrix τ. As a consequence, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M} \simeq V(\vartheta)/G(1-\rho) \subset \mathcal{D}/G(1-\rho).$$

By using the embedding $\jmath_{\mathcal{D}}$ and theta functions on $\mathbf{H}_2 \times \mathbf{C}^2$ with characteristics, we give the inverse of the above isomorphism $\overline{per} : \mathcal{M} \to V(\vartheta)/G(1-\rho)$.

1.2. **Notations.**

(1) Let $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$ be a commutative ring generated by ρ with a relation $\rho^2+\rho+1=0$. The conjugate map $\bar{\ } : \mathbf{Z}[\rho] \to \mathbf{Z}[\rho]$ is the ring homomorphism defined by $\overline{\rho} = \rho^{-1} = -1-\rho$. We set

$$\mathrm{Re} : \mathbf{Z}[\rho] \ni x \mapsto \frac{x+\overline{x}}{2} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}.$$

(2) Let χ be a character of the cyclic group $\langle\rho\rangle$ of order three. For a $\mathbf{C}$-vector space $V_{\mathbf{C}}$ with an action of $\langle\rho\rangle$, $V_{\mathbf{C}}(\chi)$ denotes the χ-part

$$V_{\mathbf{C}}(\chi) = \{v \in V_{\mathbf{C}} \mid \rho(v) = \chi(\rho)v\}.$$

of $V_{\mathbf{C}}$. For the conjugate character $\overline{\chi}$, $V_{\mathbf{C}}(\overline{\chi})$ denotes the $\overline{\chi}$-part of $V_{\mathbf{C}}$.

(3) For a module M with an action of ρ, M^ρ and M_ρ denote the ρ-invariant part and the ρ-coinvariant part $M_\rho = M/M^\rho$ of M, respectively. Then M_ρ becomes a $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-module.

(4) For a topological space X (resp. a pair of topological spaces $X \supset Y$), the i-th singular cohomology and homology (resp. relative homology) with integral coefficients are denoted by $H^i(X)$ and $H_i(X)$ (resp. $H_i(X,Y)$). The i-th de Rham cohomology (resp. de Rham cohomology with compact support) of an algebraic variety is denoted by $H^i_{dR}(X)$ (resp. $H^i_{dR,c}(X)$). It is a $\mathbf{C}$-vector space.

(5) In this paper, a lattice means a finitely generated free $\mathbf{Z}$-module with a symmetric bilinear form over $\mathbf{Q}$. For a lattice $(L, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$, $L(m)$ denotes a lattice $(L, m\langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ i.e. the module L with the symmetric bilinear form $m\langle\ ,\ \rangle$.

1.3. **Acknowledgment.** The study of period integrals of open K3 surfaces is motivated by the previous work [MSTY] on reducible hypergeometric equation. The authors express their gratitude to T. Sasaki and M. Yoshida for discussions with them.

2. Triple covering of $\mathbf{P}^2$ branching along special configuration of 6 lines

2.1. **Moduli spaces of special configurations.** In this subsection, we define a triple covering X of $\mathbf{P}^2$ branching along a special configuration of 6 lines.

Two numbered sets of 6 lines $(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_6)$ and $(\ell'_1, \cdots, \ell'_6)$ in $\mathbf{P}^2$ are projectively equivalent, if and only if there exists an element g in $PGL_3(\mathbf{C})$ such that $\ell_i = g(\ell'_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$.

Definition 2.1. *A numbered set of* 6 *lines* $\vec{\ell} = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_6)$ *in* $\mathbf{P}^2$ *is called a special configuration, if it satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) the intersection $\ell_i \cap \ell_j \cap \ell_k$ *is a point, if* $(i, j, k) = (1, 3, 6), (2, 4, 6)$,
(2) $\ell_i \cap \ell_j \cap \ell_k = \emptyset$, *if* $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$, $(i, j, k) \neq (1, 3, 6), (2, 4, 6)$.

The set of projective equivalence classes of special configurations is denoted by $\mathcal{M}$.

We can choose an inhomogeneous coordinates (p, q) of $\mathbf{P}^2$ so that ℓ_6 is the line at infinity. We use the same notation ℓ_i for the equation of the line ℓ_i. We choose an inhomogeneous coordinate (p, q) so that the defining equations are given by

$$\ell_1 = p, \quad \ell_2 = q, \quad \ell_3 = 1 - p, \quad \ell_4 = 1 - q,$$
$$\ell_5 = 1 - x_1 p - x_2 q.$$

Under the above normalization, we have the following identification:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \mid x_1, x_2 \neq 0, 1,\ x_1 + x_2 \neq 1\}.$$

For an element $\vec{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}$, we define a cyclic triple covering

$$\pi : X \to \mathbf{P}^2$$

branching along the 6 lines $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_6$ by

$$X = X_{\vec{\ell}} : z^3 = p^{-2} q^{-2} (1 - p)^{-2} (1 - q)^{-2} (1 - x_1 p - x_2 q)^{-2}. \tag{2.1}$$

The ramification divisor of π is given by $D = \cup_{i=1}^{6} \pi^{-1}(\ell_i)$.

2.2. **K3 surfaces obtained by triple coverings and Picard lattices.** Let $\vec{\ell}$ be a configuration in $\mathcal{M}$ and set $p_1 = \ell_1 \cap \ell_3 \cap \ell_6$, $p_2 = \ell_2 \cap \ell_4 \cap \ell_6$. The blowing up of $\mathbf{P}^2$ with centers p_1, p_2 is denoted by $\widehat{\mathbf{P}^2}$ (see Figure 1). The exceptional divisors over p_1 and p_2 are denoted by e_1 and e_2. The pencil with the axis p_1 (resp. p_2) defines a morphism $\overline{\epsilon_1} : \widehat{\mathbf{P}^2} \to \mathbf{P}^1$ which is expressed as

$$\overline{\epsilon_1} : (p, q) \mapsto p \quad (\text{resp. } \overline{\epsilon_2} : (p, q) \mapsto q)$$

by the inhomogeneous coordinates (p, q) of $\mathbf{P}^2$. The morphism

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}^2} \xrightarrow{(\overline{\epsilon_1}, \overline{\epsilon_2})} \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$$

is identified with the contraction of the proper transform of the line ℓ_6 in $\widehat{\mathbf{P}^2}$. The images of e_1, e_2 and $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_5$ under the contraction $\widehat{\mathbf{P}^2} \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ are also denoted by e_1, e_2 and $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_5$.

Let $\pi' : X' \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ be the normalization of X over $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$. The branch locus of π' is the normal crossing divisor $\ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cup \ell_3 \cup \ell_4 \cup \ell_5$. For $i = 1, 2$, the composite

$$\epsilon_i = \overline{\epsilon_i} \circ \pi : X' \to \mathbf{P}^1$$



FIGURE 1. Birational transform

is an elliptic fibration. We set

$$\pi'^{-1}(e_i) = E_i,\ (i = 1, 2), \quad L_i = \pi'^{-1}(\ell_i)\ (i = 1, \ldots, 5),$$

and $R' = \cup_{i=1}^{5} L_i$, $D' = R' \cup E_1 \cup E_2$. Under the map ϵ_1 (resp. ϵ_2), the images of the curves L_1, L_3, E_2 (resp. L_2, L_4, E_1) are points, which are denoted by q_1, q_3 and σ_2 (resp. q_2, q_4 and σ_1). Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & X' & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_2} \quad \mathbf{P}^1 \quad \ni q_2, q_4, \sigma_1 \\ & {\scriptstyle \epsilon_1}\downarrow & \\ q_1, q_3, \sigma_2 \in & \mathbf{P}^1, & \end{array}$$

and an isomorphism $X' - D' \xrightarrow{\simeq} X - D$.

We show that the minimal resolution $\widetilde{X}$ of X' is a K3 surface. The 8 points in X' over the intersection points in $\ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cup \ell_3 \cup \ell_4 \cup \ell_5$ are A_2-singular points

on X', and by the ramification formula, $\widetilde{X}$ is a K3 surface. For any $\vec{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}$, the Picard group contains the classes of 16 exceptional divisors arising from $8A_2$ singularities and the classes of E_1, E_2. Therefore the Picard number of $\widetilde{X}$ is at least 18. The dimension of $H^2(X', \mathbf{Q})$ is equal to $22 - 16 = 6$.

Definition 2.2 (Generic Neron-Severi lattice and generic transcendental lattice)**.** *Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the minimal resolution of X'.*

(1) *We define the generic Neron-Severi lattice S_X of $\widetilde{X}$ as the primitive closure in $H^2(\widetilde{X})$ of the submodule generated by*
   (a) *the classes of E_1, E_2;*
   (b) *the classes of 16 exceptional divisors arising from the $8A_2$-singular points.*

   The rank of S_X is 18.
(2) *We define the generic transcendental lattice T_X of $\widetilde{X}$ as the orthogonal complement of S_X in $H^2(\widetilde{X})$. The rank of T_X is 4.*
(3) *Symmetric bilinear forms on S_X and T_X obtained by the intersection form on $H^2(\widetilde{X})$ are denoted by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_S$ and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_T$, respectively. Then S_X, T_X become primitive lattices in $H^2(\widetilde{X})$.*

2.3. **The elliptic fibration ϵ_1 and the discriminants of lattices.** The elliptic fibration $\widetilde{X} \to \mathbf{P}^1$ obtained by ϵ_1 is also denoted by ϵ_1. We compute the discriminant of S_X using the fibration ϵ_1. For $i = 1, \ldots, 5$, the proper transform of $L_i \subset X'$ in $\widetilde{X}$ is also denoted by L_i. We set $\widetilde{R} = \cup_{i=1}^5 L_i \cup E_1 \cup E_2$.

Proposition 2.3. (1) *The discriminant $\delta(S_X)$ of the generic Neron-Severi lattice is equal to 9. As a consequence, the discriminant $\delta(T_X)$ of the generic transcendental lattice is equal to 9.*
(2) *The image of $H^2_c(\widetilde{X} - \widetilde{R}) \to H^2(\widetilde{X})$ is identified with T_X. By the Poincaré duality, the image of $H_2(\widetilde{X}) \to H_2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{R})$ is identified with the dual module T_X^* of T_X.*

Proof. (1) The generic fiber of the elliptic fibration $\epsilon_1 : \widetilde{X} \to \mathbf{P}^1$ is an elliptic curve E over the rational function field K of $\mathbf{P}^1$. The rank of Mordell-Weil group generated by S_X is equal to zero. Using the fact that the generic fiber of ϵ_1 has a $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$-multiplication, we can show

$$E(K)_{tor} \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}).$$

Let V be the sublattice of S_X generated by components of singular fibers, a generic fiber and the zero section. Since the type of singular fibers are $2A_2 + 2E_6$, V^*/V is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})^4$. By the formula $E(K)_{tor} \simeq S_X/V$ due to Shioda ([S]), we have $S_X^*/S_X \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})^2$. Therefore $\delta(S_X) = 9$ and as a consequence, we have $\delta(T_X) = 9$.

(2) The first statement follows from the exact sequence for cohomologies

$$H^2_c(\widetilde{X} - \widetilde{R}) \to H^2(\widetilde{X}) \xrightarrow{f} H^2(\widetilde{R}),$$

where f is obtained by the intersections with irreducible components of $\widetilde{R}$. The second statement follows from the Poincaré duality and the first statement. □

2.4. **A trivialization by the monodromy covering.** Let t be an element in $\mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\}$, and C and E be triple coverings of $\mathbf{P}^1$ defined by

$$C : w^3 = u(1-u)^2(1-tu)^{-1}, \tag{2.2}$$
$$E : w'^3 = r^{-2}(1-r)^{-2}.$$

In this subsection, we define a rational map

$$\lambda : E \times C \dashrightarrow X'.$$

Before defining the rational map λ, we define two birational maps f and f' from $\mathbf{C}^2$ to itself.

(1) We define a birational map f by

$$f : (p, r) \mapsto (p, q) = (p, \frac{(1 - x_1 p)r}{rx_2 - x_2 + 1 - x_1 p}). \tag{2.3}$$

Then the rational map f preserves the structure of the fibration arising from the first projection. The proper transform of ℓ_2, ℓ_4 and ℓ_5 are defined by $r = 0, 1$ and ∞, respectively.

(2) We define the birational map f' by

$$f' : (u, r) \mapsto (p, r) = (\frac{-u}{1 - x_1 - u}, r). \tag{2.4}$$

Then the correspondence of the variables p and u are given by the following table,

u	0	$1 - x_1$	1	$\frac{1}{t}$	∞
p	0	∞	$\frac{1}{x_1}$	$\frac{1 - x_2}{x_1}$	1
fiber of ϵ_1	L_1	E_2			L_3

where

$$t = \frac{1 - x_1 - x_2}{(1 - x_1)(1 - x_2)} \tag{2.5}$$

is the cross-ratio of the four points r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 on the line ℓ_5 (see Figure 1). We can easily see that via the birational map $f \circ f'$, the open set

$$(\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}) \times (\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty, \frac{1}{t}\}) \subset \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 = \{(r, u)\}$$

is mapped isomorphically onto the open set

$$\mathbf{U} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \mid (p, q) \notin (\ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cup \ell_3 \cup \ell_4 \cup \ell_5), p \neq \frac{1}{x_1}, \frac{1 - x_2}{x_1}\}.$$

We set

$$R_C = \{(w,u) \mid u = 0,1,\infty,\frac{1}{t}\} \subset C, \quad C^0 = C - R_C,$$
$$R_E = \{(w',r) \mid r = 0,1,\infty\} \subset E, \quad E^0 = E - R_E,$$
$$X'^0 = \pi'^{-1}(\mathbf{U}).$$

A covering transformation ρ (resp. ρ') of C (resp. E) over $\mathbf{P}^1$ is defined by $w \to \omega w$ (resp. $w' \mapsto \omega w'$). In the next proposition, we choose a branch of $(1-x_1)^{\frac{1}{3}}(1-x_2)^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

Proposition 2.4. *(1) We have a morphism $\lambda : E^0 \times C^0 \to X'^0$ defined by*

(2.6)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda : E^0 \times C^0 & \to & X'^0 \\ ((w',r),(w,u)) & \mapsto & (z,p,q) \end{array}$$
$$= \left(\frac{(1-x_1)^{\frac{2}{3}}(1-x_2)^{\frac{2}{3}}(1-r)(1-tu)ww'}{u(1-u)(1-p)(1-q)(1-x_1p-x_2q)}, p, q\right).$$

Here $p = p(r,u), q = q(r,u)$ are rational functions on r and u obtained by the relations (2.3) and (2.4).

(2) We define an action $\hat{\rho}$ on $E \times C$ by

$$\hat{\rho} = \rho'^{-1} \times \rho.$$

The morphism λ factors through the quotient by the action of $\hat{\rho}$

$$E^0 \times C^0 \to (E^0 \times C^0)/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle,$$

and induces an isomorphism

$$(E^0 \times C^0)/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle \to X'^0. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. The actions of ρ and ρ' are fixed point free on C^0 and E^0. Since the product ww' is invariant under the action of $\hat{\rho}$, we have the statement (2). The above proposition can be checked directly from the morphism (2.6). □

Definition 2.5 (Monodromy covering, monodromy curve)**.** *The covering $C \to \mathbf{P}^1$ is the smallest unramified covering of $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty,\frac{1}{t}\}$ trivializing the elliptic fibration $\epsilon_1 : \widetilde{X} \to \mathbf{P}^1$. This map is called the monodromy covering and the curve C is called the monodromy curve of the elliptic fibration $\epsilon_1 : \widetilde{X} \to \mathbf{P}^1$.*

3. Period integrals of the monodromy curve

In this section, we study period integrals of the monodromy curve C defined in (2.2). Throughout this section, we assume that the parameter t in (2.5) is a real number and satisfies $0 < t < 1$.

3.1. **The homology of the monodromy curve.** In this subsection, we study the structure of $H_1(C, \mathbf{Z})$ as $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-module and its intersection form. We define a symplectic basis $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$ and β_2 in the homology of C as follows. We set β_1, β_2 as in the Figure 2. The first sheet is defined so that w takes a



FIGURE 2. Cycles β_1 and β_2

value in $\mathbf{R}_+$ for $0 < u < 1$. Paths in the first sheet are written by solid lines. Then the cycles

$$\alpha_1 = \rho(\beta_2),\ \alpha_2 = \rho(\beta_1),\ \beta_1,\ \beta_2 \tag{3.1}$$

form a symplectic basis of the first homology $H_1(C, \mathbf{Z})$ of C; i.e, they satisfy

$$\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = \beta_i \cdot \beta_j = 0, \quad \beta_i \cdot \alpha_j = \delta_{ij}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol. We set

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

The covering transformation ρ induces a linear transformation of $H_1(C, \mathbf{Z})$ expressed as

$$\rho \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \mapsto R \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } R = \begin{pmatrix} -I & -U \\ U & O \end{pmatrix}, \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}, \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let E be the elliptic curve defined in (2.2). We define a chain δ_E with the positive direction in E by $0 \leq r \leq 1, w' \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and set

$$\Delta_E = (1 - \rho')\delta_E.$$

Then Δ_E becomes a cycle in E, and $H_1(E, \mathbf{Z})$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}[\rho']$-module of rank one generated by the homology class of Δ_E. Using the Poincaré duality, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *We have* $H^1(C, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\rho]\beta_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}[\rho]\beta_2$ *and* $H^1(E, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\rho']\Delta_E$. *The intersection form is written as*

$$\langle m, n \rangle_C = \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{Re}((\rho - \overline{\rho})\ mU^t\overline{n}), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\langle m', n' \rangle_E = \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{Re}((\rho' - \overline{\rho'})\ m' \overline{n'}),$$

where the identification $m = (m_1, m_2)$ *(resp.* $m' = (m'_1)$*) is given by* $m = m_1\beta_1 + m_2\beta_2$ *(resp.* $m' = m'_1\Delta_E$*) with* $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}[\rho]$ *(resp.* $m'_1 \in \mathbf{Z}[\rho']$*).*

3.2. **Extra involution.** We define an extra involution on $C = C_t$ for $0 < t < 1$.

Definition 3.2 (Extra involution)**.** *Let* $(1-t)^{1/3}$ *be the positive real cubic root of* $(1-t)$*. We define an involution* $\iota_C : C \to C$*, called the extra involution of* C*, by setting*

$$\iota_C^*(u) = \frac{1-u}{1-tu}, \quad \iota_C^*(w) = (1-t)^{1/3} \frac{u(1-u)}{w(1-tu)}.$$

This involution induces a transposition of branching points and boundaries as

$$0 \leftrightarrow 1, \quad \frac{1}{t} \leftrightarrow \infty, \quad 1 - x_1 \leftrightarrow 1 - x_2,$$

on the u-coordinate. Note that the fiber of ϵ_1 at $u = 1 - x_1$ is a smooth elliptic curve E_2 in $\widetilde{X}$. We have a relation $\rho \circ \iota_C = \iota_C \circ \rho^2$.

Let ψ_1 be a holomorphic 1-form on C defined by

$$\psi_1 = \frac{w}{u(1-u)} du = u^{-2/3}(1-u)^{-1/3}(1-tu)^{-1/3} du,$$

and ψ_2 be $\iota_C^*(\psi_1)$. Then we have

$$\rho^*(\psi_1) = \chi(\rho)\psi_1, \quad \rho^*(\psi_2) = \overline{\chi}(\rho)\psi_2, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)(\chi) = \psi_1 \mathbf{C}, \quad H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)(\overline{\chi}) = \psi_2 \mathbf{C}.$$

We set

$$\Sigma_i = \{(w, u) \in C \mid u = 1 - x_i\}, \quad (i = 1, 2). \tag{3.5}$$

Since the extra involution preserves the set $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$, it acts on the relative homology $H_1(C, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)$. We define β_3 and β_4 by the following equalities:

$$\rho(1-\rho) l_{1-x_1} = \beta_3, \quad \rho(1-\rho^2) l_{1-x_2} = \beta_4 + \rho\beta_1, \tag{3.6}$$

where l_{1-x_1} and l_{1-x_2} are paths in the first sheet from $(u, w) = (0, 0)$ to the point with $u = 1 - x_1$ and that with $u = 1 - x_2$, respectively.

Proposition 3.3. *(1) The images of* β_i *under the extra involution* ι_C *are given as follows:*

$$\iota_C(\beta_1) = \beta_1, \quad \iota_C(\beta_2) = -\beta_2, \quad \iota_C(\beta_3) = \beta_4, \quad \iota_C(\beta_4) = \beta_3.$$

(2) We set

$$y_i = \int_{\beta_i} \psi_1 \quad (i = 1, \ldots, 4). \tag{3.7}$$

Then

$$\int_{\beta_1} \psi_2 = y_1, \quad \int_{\beta_2} \psi_2 = -y_2, \quad \int_{\beta_3} \psi_2 = y_4, \quad \int_{\beta_4} \psi_2 = y_3.$$

Proof. (1) The identities in the first statement follows from direct computations.

(2) By the definition of ψ_2, we have

$$\int_{\beta_i} \psi_2 = \int_{\beta_i} \imath_C^*(\psi_1) = \int_{\imath_{C*}(\beta_i)} \psi_1.$$

Thus the statements follows from the results in (1). □

3.3. **Period integral and incomplete integral on the monodromy curve.** We compute the normalized period matrix of the curve C in

$$\mathbf{H}_2 = \{\tau \in M_2(\mathbf{C}) \mid {}^t\tau = \tau, \quad \mathrm{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$$

with respect to the symplectic base $\alpha_1, \ldots, \beta_2$ defined in §3.1 using the result of the last subsection. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\beta_1} \psi_1 & \int_{\beta_1} \psi_2 \\ \int_{\beta_2} \psi_1 & \int_{\beta_2} \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \tau_B = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_1 \\ y_2 & -y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

by Proposition 3.3, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\alpha_1} \psi_1 & \int_{\alpha_1} \psi_2 \\ \int_{\alpha_2} \psi_1 & \int_{\alpha_2} \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \tau_A = \begin{pmatrix} \omega y_2 & -\omega^2 y_2 \\ \omega y_1 & \omega^2 y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

by the relations (3.1) and (3.4). The normalized period matrix $\tau = \tau_A \tau_B^{-1}$ is equal to

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-3}\eta^{-1} & -1 \\ -1 & \sqrt{-3}\eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta = \frac{y_1}{y_2} \in \mathbf{C}. \tag{3.8}$$

Note that $\tau \in \mathbf{H}_2$ if and only if η is an element in

$$\mathbf{B} = \{\eta \in \mathbf{C} \mid \mathrm{Re}(\eta) > 0\}. \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.4. *Since y_1 and y_2 satisfies the hypergeometric geometric equation with the parameters $(a, b; c) = (1/3, 1/3, 1)$, the (multivalued) function η of t yields the Schwartz map with the Schwartz triangle $(3, \infty, \infty)$ (cf.* [MSTY]*).*

We define δ_3, δ_4 in $H_1(C, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2; \mathbf{Q})$ by the relations

$$(1 - \rho)\delta_3 = \beta_3, \quad (1 - \rho)\delta_4 = \beta_4.$$

Then the incomplete integrals of (ψ_1, ψ_2) along the path in the second sheet from P_0 to the point with $u = 1 - x_1$ are expressed as

$$\left(\int_{\delta_3} \psi_1, \int_{\delta_3} \psi_2 \right) = \left(\frac{1}{1 - \omega} y_3, \frac{1}{1 - \omega^2} y_4 \right). \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore the incomplete integrals for the normalized differential forms are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta = & \left(\frac{1}{1 - \omega} y_3, \frac{1}{1 - \omega^2} y_4 \right) \tau_B^{-1} \\ = & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_3}{(1 - \omega) y_1} + \frac{y_4}{(1 - \omega^2) y_1}, \frac{y_3}{(1 - \omega) y_2} - \frac{y_4}{(1 - \omega^2) y_2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

As a consequence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *The normalized period matrix of the monodromy curve defined in (2.2) for the symplectic basis defined in §3.1 is given in (3.8), where y_1, y_2 are defined in (3.7). The incomplete integral for the normalized differential forms along δ_3 is given by ζ in (3.11).*

4. Transcendental lattice of K3 surface $\widetilde{X}$

In this section, we study the transcendental lattice of $\widetilde{X}$ for $X = X_{\vec{\ell}}$ for $\vec{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}$ using the map λ defined in Proposition 2.4.

4.1. **Transcendental lattices of $\widetilde{X}$ and $E\times C$.** We introduce the conjugate $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-module structure on $H^1(E)$ by setting $\rho \cdot m = \overline{\rho'}m$. The module $H^1(E)$ with the conjugate $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-action is denoted by $\overline{H}^1(E)$. Using this action, we consider the tensor product $\overline{H}^1(E)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H^1(C)$. We have a relation $\rho'^{-1}\otimes 1 = 1\otimes\rho$ on $\overline{H}^1(E)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H^1(C)$.

Definition 4.1. *(1) Let $T_{E\times C}$ be the orthogonal complement of the submodule generated by $E\times\{pt\}$ and $\{pt\}\times C$ in $H^2(E\times C)$. It is isomorphic to $H^1(E)\otimes H^1(C)$. The intersection form on $H^1(E)\otimes H^1(C)$ is obtained by the restriction of that on $H^2(E\times C)$. It is equal to the tensor product of the cup products on $H^1(E)$ and $H^1(C)$.*

(2) We define a sub-lattice $KT_{E\times C}$ of $H^1(E)\otimes H^1(C)$ by

$$KT_{E\times C} = \ker\left(H^1(E)\otimes H^1(C) \to \overline{H}^1(E)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H^1(C)\right).$$

It is easy to see that $(1\otimes\rho)v \in KT_{E\times C}$ if $v \in KT_{E\times C}$. By this action, $KT_{E\times C}$ is a $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-module.

Proposition 4.2. *(1) The discriminant of $KT_{E\times C}$ is equal to 9. The symmetric bilinear form on $KT_{E\times C}$ induced from the intersection form is isomorphic to $A_2\oplus A_2(-1)$. Here the Grammian matrix of the A_2 lattice is given by $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2\end{pmatrix}$.*

(2) The morphism λ^* induces the following natural inclusions:

$$T_X \subset KT_{E\times C} \subset T_{E\times C}. \tag{4.1}$$

The modules T_X and $KT_{E\times C}$ are free $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-modules of rank two.

(3) We introduce a symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{E\times C}$ on T_X by the restriction of the intersection paring on $H^2(E\times C)$ via the inclusions

$$T_X \subset KT_{E\times C} \to H^1(E)\otimes H^1(C) \to H^2(E\otimes C).$$

Then we have

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{E\times C} = 3\langle\ ,\ \rangle_T.$$

(4) Under the left inclusion of (4.1), T_X is equal to $(1\otimes 1 - 1\otimes\rho)\ KT_{E\times C}$ and $(1+\hat{\rho}^* + \hat{\rho}^{2*})T_{E\times C}$. As a consequence, T_X is isomorphic to $A_2\oplus A_2(-1)$ and $(1-\rho)T_X^* = T_X$.

Proof. We remark a general fact about the orthogonal complements of submodules of the Neron-Severi lattices in the second cohomology groups of a surfaces. Let $g : X_1 \to X_2$ be a birational morphism of smooth projective surfaces. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a subset in the Neron-Severi lattice of X_2 and $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of exceptional divisors for g. Then the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{S}$ in $H^2(X_2)$ is mapped by g^* isomorphically to the orthogonal complement of the module generated by $g^*(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{E}$.

(1) The statement is obtained from the intersection forms on $H^1(E)$ and $H^1(C)$. The computation is reduced to the case where $t \in \mathbf{R}, 0 < t < 1$, which follows from Proposition 3.1.

(2) Let $\hat{\rho}$ be the action ${\rho'}^{-1} \times \rho$ on $E \times C$. Let $\widehat{X}$ be the minimal resolution of $(E \times C)/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle$ and $(E \times C)\widehat{\ }$ be the normalization of

$$(E \times C) \times_{(E\times C)/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle} \widehat{X}.$$

Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times C & \xleftarrow{g_1} & (E \times C)\widehat{\ } \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda^* \\ E \times C/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle & \xleftarrow{f_1} & \widehat{X} \\ & & \downarrow g_2 \\ & & \widetilde{X} \\ & & \downarrow f_2 \\ & & X'. \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

Here the morphisms f_1 and f_2 are minimal resolutions of singularities and g_1 and g_2 are birational morphisms between smooth projective surfaces. By the fact cited above, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^2(\widetilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{g_2^*} & H^2(\widehat{X}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & H^2((E \times C)\widehat{\ }) & \xleftarrow{g_1^*} & H^2(E \times C) \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ T_X & \simeq & T_X & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & T_{(E\times C)\widehat{\ }} & \simeq & T_{E\times C}. \end{array}$$

Here, $T_{(E\times C)\widehat{\ }}$ is the orthogonal complement of the divisors $E \times \{pt\}$, $\{pt\} \times C$ and exceptional divisors for $(E \times C)\widehat{\ } \to E \times C$.

Since the image of λ^* is invariant under the action of $\hat{\rho}$ and

$$0 = (\hat{\rho})^* v - v = ({\rho'}^{-1} \otimes' \rho)^* v - v = (1 \otimes' \rho^2)^* v - v$$

on $\overline{H}^1(E) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H^1(C)$, the image of T_X under the map λ^* is contained in $KT_{E\times C}$.

(3) The generic transcendental lattice T_X is equipped with the intersection form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_T$ by Definition 2.2. On the other hand, the left end of the isomorphism (4.1) is equipped with an intersection form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{E\times C}$ by restricting that of $H^1(E) \otimes H^1(C)$. Since the degree of the morphism $(E\times C)\widehat{\ } \to (E\times C)\widehat{\ }/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle$ between smooth projective surfaces is three, by the properties of the cup product and the trace map, we have the statement.

(4) For an element $x \in T_{E\times C} = H^1(E) \otimes H^1(C)$, $x + \hat{\rho}(x) + \hat{\rho}^2(x)$ is contained in the image of T_X. Since $H^1(E) \simeq \mathbf{Z}[\rho']$ and $H^1(C) \simeq \mathbf{Z}[\rho] \oplus \mathbf{Z}[\rho]$

as a $\mathbf{Z}[\rho']$-module and a $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-module, we have an isomorphism

$$(1+\hat{\rho}+\hat{\rho}^2)T_{E\times C} \simeq (1\otimes 1 - 1\otimes\rho)KT_{T\times C}.$$

Therefore we have inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (1+\hat{\rho}+\hat{\rho}^2)T_{E\times C} & & \overset{f}{\subset} & T_X & \subset & KT_{T\times C} & \subset & T_{E\times C} \\ \| & & & & & & & \\ (1\otimes 1 - 1\otimes\rho)KT_{T\times C}. & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Since $\delta((T_X, \langle\ ,\ \rangle) = 9$ and the equality $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{E\times C} = 3\langle\ ,\ \rangle_T$, the discriminant $\delta((T_X, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_{E\times T}))$ is equal to $3^4\cdot 9$. By (1), we have $\delta(KT_{E\times C}) = 9$. Since $[KT_{E\times C} : (1-\rho)KT_{E\times C}] = 9$, the inclusion f is an isomorphism. □

As a corollary, we have an explicit basis of the transcendental lattice and its intersection form.

Corollary 4.3. *The lattice T_X is freely generated by $(1+\hat{\rho}+(\hat{\rho})^2)(\Delta_E\otimes\beta_i)$ $(i=1,2)$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$. It is isomorphic to $A_2\oplus A_2(-1)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 (4), the homomorphism $\lambda_* : T_{E\times C}\to T_X$ obtained by the Poincaré duality is surjective. □

4.2. **Relative homologies for divisors in K3 surfaces.** The proper transforms of E_1, E_2 in $\widetilde{X}$ are also denoted by E_1, E_2. Then the curves E_1 and E_2 are isomorphic to E defined in (2.2). By the long exact sequence of relative homologies and the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, we have the following exact sequences of mixed Hodge structures on integral homologies:

(4.3)

$$0\to H_2(E_1)\oplus H_2(E_2)\to H_2(\widetilde{X})\to H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_1\cup E_2)\overset{\partial}{\to} H_1(E_1\cup E_2)\to 0,$$

$$0\to H_1(E_1)\oplus H_1(E_2)\to H_1(E_1\cup E_2)\to H_0(E_1\cap E_2).$$

We set

$$H_2^{(0)}(\widetilde{X}, E_1\cup E_2) = \partial^{-1}(H_1(E_1)\oplus H_1(E_2)),$$
$$H_2^{(i)}(\widetilde{X}, E_1\cup E_2) = \partial^{-1}(H_1(E_i)) \quad (i=1,2),$$

where the map ∂ is defined in the exact sequence (4.3). We set

$$M_1 = \frac{H_2(\widetilde{X})}{H_2(E_1)\oplus H_2(E_2)},$$
$$M_2 = H_2^{(0)}(\widetilde{X}, E_1\cup E_2),$$
$$M_3 = H_1(E_1)\oplus H_1(E_2),$$

and we have a short exact sequence

$$0\to M_1\to M_2\to M_3\to 0.$$

Proposition 4.4. *(1) The module M_1 is torsion free.*

(2) We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to (M_1)_\rho \to (M_2)_\rho \to (M_3)_\rho \to 0.$$

As for the coinvariant part, see Notations in §1.2.

(3) We have the following isomorphisms.

$$(M_1)_\rho = H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho, \quad (M_2)_\rho = H_2^{(0)}(\widetilde{X}, E_1 \cup E_2)_\rho.$$

As a consequence, we have the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \to H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho \to H_2^{(0)}(\widetilde{X}, E_1 \cup E_2)_\rho \to H_1(E_1) \oplus H_1(E_2) \to 0, \\ &0 \to H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho \to H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho \to H_1(E_2) \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. (1) By the Poincaré duality $H^2(\widetilde{X}) \simeq H_2(\widetilde{X})$, the intersection form on $H^2(\widetilde{X})$ induces a symmetric bilinear form on $H_2(\widetilde{X})$. The class of E_i is also denoted by E_i for $i = 1, 2$. Let $m \in H_2(\widetilde{X})$ and assume that $km = aE_1 + bE_2$ for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Using the intersection, we have $(km, L_1) = a, (km, L_2) = b$. Therefore $k(m - (m, L_1)E_1 - (m, L_2)E_2) = 0$. Since $H_2(\widetilde{X})$ is torsion free, we have $x \in \mathbf{Z}E_1 \oplus \mathbf{Z}E_2$.

(2) Since the fixed part $(M_3)^\rho$ of M_3 is zero, the natural map $(M_1)^\rho \to (M_2)^\rho$ is an isomorphism and we have the required exact sequence. The natural homomorphism $M_3 \to (M_3)_\rho$ is an isomorphism.

(3) We also have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to H_2(E_2) \to H_2(\widetilde{X}) \to H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_1(E_2) \to 0.$$

Using this exact sequence and a similar argument in Proposition 4.4, we have the following commutative diagram whose rows are exact sequences.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 \to & H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho & \to & H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho & \to & H_1(E_2) & \to 0 \\ & \simeq\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \to & H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho & \to & H_2^{(0)}(\widetilde{X}, E_1 \cup E_2)_\rho & \to & H_1(E_1) \oplus H_1(E_2) & \to 0. \end{array}$$

□

4.3. **Comparison for relative homologies.** By the coordinates (u, r), the fibers of the fibration $\epsilon_1 : X' \to \mathbf{P}^1$ at $u = 0, \infty, 1 - x_1$ are equal to L_1, L_3, E_2. Let Σ_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be the subsets of C defined in (3.5). Via the commutative diagram (4.2), we have

$$E \times (C - \Sigma_1) \leftarrow (E \times (C - \Sigma_1))\widehat{\ } \xrightarrow{\lambda^0} \widehat{X} - E_2 \to \widetilde{X} - E_2$$

and homomorphisms of Hodge structures:

$$H_2(E \times C, E \times \Sigma_1) \leftarrow H_2((E \times C)\widehat{\ }, E \times \Sigma_1) \xrightarrow{\lambda^0_*} H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2).$$

For a $\langle \rho', \rho \rangle$-module M, we set $M_\Delta = M/\langle \hat{\rho}(x) - x \rangle$, where $\hat{\rho} = {\rho'}^{-1} \times \rho$. If $\hat{\rho}$ acts trivially on M, then $M_\Delta = M$. Then the group $\langle \rho', \rho \rangle / \langle \hat{\rho} \rangle = \langle \rho \rangle$ acts on the quotient module on M_Δ. Let M, N be $\langle \rho', \rho \rangle$-modules and $f : M \to N$ be a $\langle \rho', \rho \rangle$ homomorphism. Then we have a homomorphism $f_\Delta : M_\Delta \to N_\Delta$. The

ρ coinvariant $(M_\Delta)_\rho$ of M_Δ is denoted by $M_{(\Delta,\rho)}$. By applying the operation $(*)_{(\Delta,\rho)}$ to the above homomorphisms, we have the following homomorphisms

$$H_2(E\times C, E\times\Sigma_1)_{(\Delta,\rho)} \xleftarrow{\simeq} H_2((E\times C)\widehat{\ }, E\times\Sigma_1)_{(\Delta,\rho)} \xrightarrow{\lambda_*^0} H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho,$$

and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0\to & H_2(E\times C)_{(\Delta,\rho)} & \to & H_2(E\times C, E\times\Sigma_1)_{(\Delta,\rho)} & \to & (H_1(E)\otimes H_0(\Sigma_1)^0)_{(\Delta,\rho)} & \to 0 \\ & \lambda_1\downarrow & & \lambda_2\downarrow & & \lambda_3\downarrow & \\ 0\to & H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho & \to & H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho & \to & H_1(E_2) & \to 0. \end{array}$$

Here $H_0(\Sigma_1)^0$ is the kernel of the map

$$H_0(\Sigma_1)\to H_0(C).$$

Proposition 4.5. *(1) We have the following canonical isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} &H_2(E\times C)_{(\Delta,\rho)} \simeq H_1(E)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H_1(C),\\ &H_2(E\times C, E\times\Sigma_1)_{(\Delta,\rho)} \simeq H_1(E)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H_1(C,\Sigma_1),\\ &(H_1(E)\otimes H_0(\Sigma_1)^0)_{(\Delta,\rho)} \simeq H_1(E)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H_0(\Sigma_1)^0. \end{aligned}$$

(2) The homomorphisms λ_1,λ_2 and λ_3 are injective and their images are equal to $(1-\rho)H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$, $(1-\rho)H_2(\widetilde{X},E_2)_\rho$ and $(1-\rho)H_1(E_2)$, respectively.

Proof. (1) The isomorphisms are obtained by a direct computation using the structures of $H^1(C)$ and $H^1(E)$ given in Proposition 3.1.

(2) Via the Poincaré duality, the map λ_* is identified with $1+\hat\rho^*+(\hat\rho^2)^*$ on $H^2(\widetilde{X})$, and the image of the homomorphism λ_1 is equal to T_X by Corollary 4.3. Since each component of exceptional divisors from A_2 singularities is fixed under the action of ρ, we have $H_2(\widetilde{X})^\rho = S_X$ and $H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho = T_X^*$. By Proposition 4.2 (4), we have $(1-\rho)T_X^* = T_X$ and the image of λ_* is $(1-\rho)H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$ under the natural map $T_X\to H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$. The module $H_1(E)\otimes H_0(\Sigma_1)^0$ is generated by $\Delta_E\otimes(1-\rho)$ as a $\langle\rho',\rho\rangle$-module. Its image in $(H_1(E)\otimes H_0(\Sigma_1)^0)_\Delta$ is equal to $(\rho'-1)\Delta_E\otimes 1$. Thus the image is isomorphic to $(1-\rho)H_1(E_2)$. The statement for λ_2 follows from those of λ_1 and λ_3. □

We use the following isomorphisms to compute the intersection form on T_X.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1+\hat\rho+\hat\rho^2)H^1(E)\otimes H^1(C) & \underset{\simeq}{\xleftarrow{\lambda^*}} & T_X \\ \\ (H_1(E)\otimes H_1(C))_\rho & \underset{\simeq}{\xrightarrow{\lambda_*}} & T_X \quad \subset T_X^* \simeq H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho. \end{array}$$

The following proposition is used to define markings for K3 surfaces.

Proposition 4.6. *Let m,n be elements in $H_1(C)$. We use the identification $H_1(C)\simeq\mathbf{Z}[\rho]^2$ given in Proposition 3.1.*

(1) *Let* m', n' *be elements* T_X *defined by* $m' = \lambda_*(\Delta_E \otimes m), n' = \lambda_*(\Delta_E \otimes n)$. *Then we have*

$$\langle m', n' \rangle_X = 2\,\mathrm{Re}(mU^t\overline{n}).$$

(2) *Let* m'', n'' *be elements* $T_X^* = H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$ *defined by* $m'' = \lambda_*(\delta_E \otimes m), n'' = \lambda_*(\delta_E \otimes n)$. *Then we have*

$$\langle m'', n'' \rangle_X = \frac{2}{3}\,\mathrm{Re}(mU^t\overline{n}).$$

Here the matrix U *is given in (3.2).*

Proof. (1) We use the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle m', n' \rangle_X &= \langle \lambda_*(\Delta_E \otimes m), \lambda_*(\Delta_E \otimes n) \rangle_X \\ &= \langle \Delta_E \otimes m, \lambda^*\lambda_*(\Delta_E \otimes n) \rangle_X \\ &= \langle \Delta_E \otimes m, (1 + \hat{\rho} + \hat{\rho}^2)(\Delta_E \otimes n) \rangle_X\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1 + \hat{\rho} + \hat{\rho}^2)(\Delta_E \otimes n) = \Delta_E \otimes n + (\rho'\Delta_E) \otimes (\rho^2 n) + ({\rho'}^2\Delta_E) \otimes (\rho n).$$

By the definition of intersection form and the orientation of a fiber space, we have

$$\langle \Delta \otimes m, \Delta' \otimes m' \rangle_X = -\langle \Delta, \Delta' \rangle_E \cdot \langle m, m' \rangle_C.$$

The proposition follows from Proposition 3.1 and direct computation.

Statement (2) follows from the equality $\delta_E = \dfrac{1}{1-\rho'}\Delta_E$ and statement (1). □

By the duals of de Rham cohomologies, we have the following isomorphism of de Rham cohomologies:

$$H^1_{dR}(E) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[\rho]} H^1_{dR,c}(C - \Sigma_1) \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} H^2_{dR,c}(X - E_2)_\rho. \tag{4.5}$$

5. Period integral for K3 surfaces in $M \subset \mathcal{M}$.

In this section, we study period integrals of a triple covering $X_{\vec{\ell}}$, where $\vec{\ell} = (x_1, x_2)$ is an element in

$$M = \{(x_1, x_2) \subset \mathcal{M} \mid \ x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{R}, 0 < x_1, x_2, x_1 + x_2 < 1\}. \tag{5.1}$$

5.1. **Relative chains on K3 surfaces and period integrals.** We define relative 2-chains $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_4$ on X by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1 &= \{(p, q, z) \mid 0 \le p \le 1, 0 \le q \le 1, z \in \mathbf{e}(0)\mathbf{R}_+\}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{(p, q, z) \mid 1 \le p, 1 \le q, x_1 p + x_2 q \le 1, z \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{2}{3})\mathbf{R}_+\}, \\ \Gamma_3 &= \{(p, q, z) \mid p \le 0, 0 \le q \le 1, z \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{3})\mathbf{R}_+\}, \\ \Gamma_4 &= \{(p, q, z) \mid 0 \le p \le 1, q \le 0, z \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{3})\mathbf{R}_+\};\end{aligned}$$

see Figure 3. Then $(1-\rho)\Gamma_1, \ldots, (1-\rho)\Gamma_4$ define elements in the relative



FIGURE 3. Images of Γ_i in $\mathbf{P}^2$

homology $H_2(X, E_1 \cup E_2)_\rho$. A holomorphic two form Ω_X on $X - D$ given by

$$\Omega_X = z dp \wedge dq \ \left(= p^{-\frac{2}{3}} q^{-\frac{2}{3}} (1-p)^{-\frac{2}{3}} (1-q)^{-\frac{2}{3}} (1 - x_1 p - x_2 q)^{-\frac{2}{3}} dp \wedge dq \right)$$

becomes a global two form on $\widetilde{X}$, which is also denoted by Ω_X. Since the restriction of Ω_X to $E_1 \cup E_2$ is zero, it defines an element of the de Rham cohomology $H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_1 \cup E_2)$ with compact support. The natural pairing

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle : H_2(X, E_1 \cup E_2)_\rho \otimes H^2_{dR,c}(X - E_1 \cup E_2)_\rho \to \mathbf{C},$$

is defined by period integrals. We define functions φ_i on M by

$$\varphi_i = \langle \Gamma_i, \Omega_X \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_i} \Omega_X, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4). \tag{5.2}$$

Then we have a map

$$M \ni \vec{\ell} \mapsto (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_4) \in \mathbf{C}^4.$$

This map is continued analytically to a multivalued holomorphic map from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathbf{C}^4$.

Remark 5.1. *The integral φ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ satisfies Appell's hypergeometric system F_2 of differential equations with parameters $(a, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) = (2/3, 1/3, 1/3, 2/3, 2/3)$.*

5.2. **Comparison of Period integrals.** In this subsection, we compute period integrals using the isomorphisms in Proposition 4.5. Let (x_1, x_2) be an element in M defined in (5.1) and set

$$t = \frac{1 - x_1 - x_2}{(1 - x_1)(1 - x_2)}.$$

Then we have $0 < t < 1$ since $0 < x_1, x_2, x_1 + x_2 < 1$. Let $\lambda : E^0 \times C^0 \to X'^0$ be a morphism defined in Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 5.2. *(1) The pull back of the differential form Ω_X under the covering map λ in (2.7) is equal to*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^*\Omega_X =&(1 - x_1)^{-\frac{1}{3}}(1 - x_2)^{-\frac{1}{3}} w' dr \wedge \psi_1 \\ =&(1 - x_1)^{-\frac{1}{3}}(1 - x_2)^{-\frac{1}{3}} r^{-\frac{2}{3}}(1 - r)^{-\frac{2}{3}} dr \\ &\wedge u^{-\frac{2}{3}}(1 - u)^{-\frac{1}{3}}(1 - tu)^{-\frac{1}{3}} du.\end{aligned}$$

(2) Via the isomorphism λ in (2.6), The chains $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$ correspond to

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma'_1 =&\{(ww', r, u) \in (E_\omega \times C)/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle \mid 0 < r < 1, -\infty < u < 0, ww' \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{2})\}, \\ \Gamma'_2 =&\{(ww', r, u) \in (E_\omega \times C)/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle \mid 1 < r < \infty, \frac{1}{t} < u < \infty, ww' \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{6})\}, \\ \Gamma'_3 =&\{(ww', r, u) \in (E_\omega \times C)/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle \mid 0 < r < 1, 0 < u < 1 - x_1, ww' \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{3})\}.\end{aligned}$$

We define 1-chains γ_i on C by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &= \{(w, u) \in C \mid u \in (-\infty, 0), w \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{2})\}, \\ \gamma_2 &= \{(w, u) \in C \mid u \in (\frac{1}{t}, \infty), w \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{6})\}, \\ \gamma_3 &= \{(w, u) \in C \mid u \in (0, 1 - x_1), w \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{3})\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then by the covering $\lambda : E \times C \to (E \otimes C)/\langle\hat{\rho}\rangle$, we have

$$\Gamma'_i = \lambda(\delta_E \otimes \gamma_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \tag{5.3}$$

where δ_E is defined in §3.1. Using the above relation, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *The period integrals φ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are expressed as*

$$\varphi_i(x_1, x_2) = (1 - x_1)^{-\frac{1}{3}}(1 - x_2)^{-\frac{1}{3}} B(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})\ \varphi'_i(t)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, where $\varphi'_i = \varphi'_i(t)$ is

$$\varphi'_i(t) = \int_{\gamma_i} u^{-\frac{2}{3}}(1 - u)^{-\frac{1}{3}}(1 - tu)^{-\frac{1}{3}} du.$$

The computation of the integral $\varphi_4(x_1, x_2)$ is reduced to that of φ_3 by exchanging the parameters $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$, and the variables $p \leftrightarrow q$. We have

$$\varphi_4 = B(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})(1-x_1)^{-\frac{1}{3}}(1-x_2)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\varphi_4',$$

where

$$\varphi_4' = \int_{\gamma_4} u^{-\frac{2}{3}}(1-u)^{-\frac{1}{3}}(1-tu)^{-\frac{1}{3}}du,$$
$$\gamma_4 = \{(w,u) \in C \mid u \in (0, 1-x_2), w \in \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{3})\}.$$

5.3. **Relations between cycles $\{\gamma_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ in C.** We give relations between the bases $\{\gamma_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ of $H_1(C, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)_\rho$. The action on $H_1(C, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)_\rho$ induced by ρ is also denoted as ρ. We define points P_0, P_1, P_t and P_∞ in $(u, w) \in C$ by

$$P_0 = (0,0), \quad P_1 = (1,0), \quad P_t = (1/t, \infty), \quad P_\infty = (\infty, \infty). \tag{5.4}$$

Paths connecting P_0 with P_1, P_t and P_∞ in the first sheet are denoted by l_1, l_t and l_∞, respectively. Then we have

$$(1-\rho^2)l_1 = \beta_1, \quad (1-\rho)l_t = \beta_2, \quad (1-\rho)l_\infty = -\rho^2\beta_1 + \beta_2. \tag{5.5}$$

Recall that l_{1-x_1} and l_{1-x_2} are paths in the first sheet from P_0 to the point with $u = 1-x_1$ and that with $u = 1-x_2$, respectively. The paths l_{1-x_1} and l_{1-x_1} and cycles $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_4$ satisfy the relations in (3.10).

Proposition 5.4. *In $H_1(C, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)_\rho$, we have the following identities:*

$$\beta_1 = \rho(1-\rho^2)\gamma_2, \quad \beta_2 = (1-\rho^2)\gamma_1 + (1-\rho^2)\gamma_2, \tag{5.6}$$
$$\beta_3 = (1-\rho)\gamma_3, \quad \beta_4 = (1-\rho^2)\gamma_4 - \rho^2(1-\rho^2)\gamma_2,$$

where γ_i is defined in §5.2.

Proof. The above identities follow from (3.6) and the identities

$$(1-\rho)\gamma_1 = -(1-\rho)\rho l_\infty, \quad (1-\rho)\gamma_2 = (1-\rho)\rho(l_\infty - l_t),$$
$$(1-\rho)\gamma_3 = (1-\rho)\rho l_{1-x_1}, \quad (1-\rho)\gamma_4 = (1-\rho)\rho l_{1-x_2}$$

in $H_1(C, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)$. □

Proposition 5.5. *We set*

$$B_i^* = \lambda(\delta_E \otimes \beta_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Then $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho$ is freely generated by B_1^*, B_2^* and B_3^*. We have the following relations between B_1^*, B_2^*, B_3^* and $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$:

$$B_1^* = \rho(1-\rho^2)\Gamma_2, \ B_2^* = (1-\rho^2)\Gamma_1 + (1-\rho^2)\Gamma_2, \ B_3^* = (1-\rho)\Gamma_3.$$

As a consequence, $H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho$ is freely generated by $(1-\rho)\Gamma_1, (1-\rho)\Gamma_2$ and $(1-\rho)\Gamma_3$.

Proof. The first statement of the proposition is a consequence of Proposition 3.1, 4.5. By the equality (5.3), we have

$$\Gamma_i = \lambda(\delta_E \otimes \gamma_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

and the relations follow from (5.6). □

6. Period map for marked triple coverings of $\mathbf{P}^2$

In this section, we define a marking on a special configuration of 6 lines in $\mathbf{P}^2$, and its moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$. We define the period map $\mathcal{M}_{mk} \to \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}^2$ from the moduli space of marked configuration to a period domain (for the definition of $\mathbf{B}$, see (3.9)).

Let $\vec{\ell} = (x_1, x_2)$ be an element in $\mathcal{M}$. Recall that the triple covering $X = X_{\vec{\ell}}$ of $\mathbf{P}^2$ and the triple covering $C = C_{\vec{\ell}}$ of $\mathbf{P}^1$ are defined by the equations (2.1) and (2.2). Let Σ_i be the subsets of C defined in (3.5).

6.1. **Level structures of monodromy curves and K3 surfaces.** Let (x_1, x_2) be an element in $\mathcal{M}$ (not necessarily contained in the domain M). We define mod $(1-\rho)$-markings of $H_1(C)$ and $H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$.

We begin with the mod $(1-\rho)$-marking of $H_1(C)$. We choose a path l_1 (resp. l_t, l_{1-x_1}) in C starting from the branching point $u = 0$ and ending with $u = 1$ (resp. $u = \dfrac{1}{t}, 1 - x_1$). Since $(1-\rho)l_1$ (resp. $(1-\rho)l_t, (1-\rho)l_{1-x_1}$) is an element in $H_1(C, \Sigma_1)$, l_1 (resp. l_t, l_{1-x_1}) is an element in $\dfrac{1}{1-\rho}H_1(C, \Sigma_1)$ and defines a class $\overline{l_1}$ (resp. $\overline{l_t}, \overline{l_{1-x_1}}$) in $\big(\dfrac{1}{1-\rho}H_1(C, \Sigma_1)\big)/H_1(C, \Sigma_1)$. We define $\mathbf{F}_3$-vector spaces $Z_{\mathbf{F}_3}$ and $H_{\mathbf{F}_3}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathbf{F}_3} &= \ker([P_0]\mathbf{F}_3 \oplus [P_1]\mathbf{F}_3 \oplus [P_t]\mathbf{F}_3 \oplus [P_\infty]\mathbf{F}_3 \to \mathbf{F}_3) \\ &\quad a_0[P_0] + a_1[P_1] + a_t[P_t] + a_\infty[P_\infty] \mapsto a_0 + a_1 + a_t + a_\infty, \\ H_{\mathbf{F}_3} &= Z_{\mathbf{F}_3}/([P_0] - [P_1] - [P_t] + [P_\infty]). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *(1) The classes $\overline{l_1}, \overline{l_t}$ and $\overline{l_{1-x_1}}$ depend only on the end points l_1, l_t and l_{1-x_1}.*

(2) The $\mathbf{F}_3$-linear map $\mathcal{L} : \dfrac{1}{1-\rho}H_1(C)/H_1(C) \to H_{\mathbf{F}_3}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(\overline{l_1}) = [P_1] - [P_0], \quad \mathcal{L}(\overline{l_t}) = [P_t] - [P_0]$$

is an isomorphism independent of the choice of l_1 and l_t.

We define the following classes in $\big(\dfrac{1}{1-\rho}H_1(C, \Sigma_1)\big)/H_1(C, \Sigma_1)$:

$$\big[\frac{1}{1-\rho}\beta_1\big] = -\overline{l_1}, \quad \big[\frac{1}{1-\rho}\beta_2\big] = \overline{l_t}, \quad \big[\frac{1}{1-\rho}\beta_3\big] = \overline{l_{1-x_1}}.$$

If (x_1, x_2) belongs to M, then they coincide with the image of $\big[\frac{1}{1-\rho}\beta_1\big]$, $\big[\frac{1}{1-\rho}\beta_2\big]$ and $\big[\frac{1}{1-\rho}\beta_3\big]$ defined in §3.1 by the relations (5.5) and (3.6). Using symplectic basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ the elements $\overline{l_i}$ $(i = 0, 1, t, \infty)$ are written as

$$l_i \equiv \frac{1}{3}(-p_i U, p_i)\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha_1\\ \alpha_2\end{pmatrix}, \beta = \begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\end{pmatrix}, \quad (i = 0, 1, t, \infty)$$

modulo $H_1(C)$, where

$$p_0 = (0, 0), \quad p_1 = (1, 0), \quad p_t = (0, 2), \quad p_\infty = (1, 2). \tag{6.1}$$

Next we define the mod $(1-\rho)$ marking of $\widetilde{X}$ using the marking of C. By choosing a branch of $(1-x_1)^{\frac{1}{3}}(1-x_2)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we obtain a rational map

$$\lambda : E \times C \dashrightarrow \widetilde{X}$$

by a morphism given in (2.6). By Proposition 4.5, the rational map λ induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\frac{1}{1-\rho}H_1(E) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H_1(C, \Sigma_1)\Big)\Big/\Big(H_1(E) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} H_1(C, \Sigma_1)\Big)\\ &\xrightarrow[\simeq]{\lambda_*} \Big(H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho\Big)\Big/\Big((1-\rho)H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho\Big).\end{aligned}$$

The image of

$$\Delta_E \otimes \big(\frac{1}{1-\rho}\beta_i\big) = \delta_E \otimes \beta_i$$

under the map λ_* is denoted by $\overline{B_i}$. One can show the following lemma easily.

Lemma 6.2. *The elements $\overline{B_1}, \overline{B_2}$ and $\overline{B_3}$ in $\Big(H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho\Big)\Big/\Big((1-\rho)H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho\Big)$ do not depend on the choice of $(1-x_1)^{\frac{1}{3}}(1-x_2)^{\frac{1}{3}}$. The element $\overline{B_i}$ in $\Big(H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho\Big)\Big/\Big((1-\rho)H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho\Big)$ defined as above is denoted by $\overline{B_i}(X)$.*

6.2. **Moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$ of marked triple coverings of $\mathbf{P}^2$.**

6.2.1. *The standard modules and bilinear forms.* We set

$$W_{(-2)} = \langle B_1, B_2\rangle_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]} \subset W_{(-1)} = \langle B_1, B_2, B_3\rangle_{\mathbf{Z}[\rho]}.$$

Here B_1, B_2, B_3 form a formal free basis over $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$. We use an identification $W_{(-1)} \simeq \mathbf{Z}[\rho]^3$ by writing an element v in $W_{(-1)}$ by

$$v = (c_1, c_2, c_3)\begin{pmatrix}B_1\\ B_2\\ B_3\end{pmatrix}, \quad c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbf{Z}[\rho]. \tag{6.2}$$

Similarly, the submodule $W_{(-2)}$ is identified with $\{(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbf{Z}[\rho]^2\}$.

We define a $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-valued hermitian form $h(\ ,\ )$ and a $\mathbf{Z}$-valued symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $W_{(-2)}$ by

$$h(x,y) = xU^t\overline{y}, \quad \langle x,y\rangle = \frac{2}{3}\operatorname{Re}(h(x,y)), \tag{6.3}$$

where U is given in (3.2).

6.2.2. *Moduli space of marked of configurations.*

Definition 6.3 (Marked configuration)**.** *We define a marked configuration by a pair $((x_1,x_2),\mu)$ consisting of*

(1) a point (x_1,x_2) in $\mathcal{M}$,

(2) an isomorphism (marking) $\mu : W_{(-1)} \to H_2(\widetilde{X},E_2)_\rho$ of $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-modules,

satisfying the following three conditions.

(a) The image of $W_{(-2)}$ is identified with $H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$ under the map μ. Under this isomorphism, the symmetric bilinear form on $W_{(-2)}$ and the intersection form on $H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$ are compatible.

(b) Under the map

$$W_{(-1)}/W_{(-2)} \to H_2(\widetilde{X},E_2)_\rho/H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho \simeq H_1(E_2)$$

induced by μ, the element B_3 is sent to the classes of Δ_E, where the second isomorphism is obtained by the exact sequence (4.4).

(c) (Level structures) Let

$$\overline{\mu} : W_{(-1)}/(1-\rho)W_{(-1)} \to H_2(\widetilde{X},E_2)_\rho/(1-\rho)H_2(\widetilde{X},E_2)_\rho$$

be the map induced by the map μ. Then the class of B_i mod $(1-\rho)$ is mapped to the element $\overline{B_i}(X)$ defined in Lemma 6.2.

The set of marked configurations is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$.

6.2.3. *The case where $\vec{\ell} \in M$.* A consequence of Proposition 4.2, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $\vec{\ell} = (x_1,x_2) \in M$. Using the element β_i in $H_1(C)$ defined in §3.1, we define a $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-isomorphism $\mu : W_{(-1)} \to H_2(\widetilde{X},E_2)_\rho$ by setting*

$$\mu(B_i) = \lambda(\delta_E \otimes \beta_i).$$

Then by the definition of $\overline{B_i}(X)$ in Lemma 6.2, Proposition 4.6, 5.5 and the relations (5.5) and (3.6), the pair $((x_1,x_2),\mu)$ is a marked configuration.

Let $\mu : W_{(-2)} \to H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$ be a marking in Definition 6.3, and $\lambda : E \times C \dashrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ be a rational map in (2.6). Let β_1,β_2 be elements in $H_1(C)$ such that

$$\mu(B_i) = \lambda(\delta_E \otimes \beta_i).$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.5. *The set* $\{\alpha_1 = \rho(\beta_2), \alpha_2 = \rho(\beta_1), \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ *forms a symplectic basis. Conversely, if* $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ *is a symplectic basis satisfying*

$$\alpha_1 = \rho(\beta_2), \quad \alpha_2 = \rho(\beta_1), \tag{6.4}$$

then the set $\{B_1, B_2\}$ *defined by* $B_i = \mu^{-1}(\lambda(\delta_E \otimes \beta_i))$ *(*$i = 1, 2$*) forms a basis of* $H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$ *and the intersection form is expressed as (6.3) with respect to this basis.*

6.3. **Period integrals of marked K3 surfaces.** In this section, we define a period map from $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$ to $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}^2$ using the mixed Hodge structure of $H_2(X, E_2)_\rho$. Let $\mu : W_{(-1)} \to H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho$ be a marking of $\widetilde{X}$.

We consider the following commutative diagrams for de Rham cohomologies:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 \to & H^1_{dR}(E_2)(\chi) & \to & H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)(\chi) & \to & H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi) & \to 0 \\
 & & & \cup & & \cup & \\
 & & & F^2H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)(\chi) & \xrightarrow[\pi]{\simeq} & F^2H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi) & \\
0 \to & H^1_{dR}(E_2)(\overline{\chi}) & \to & H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)(\overline{\chi}) & \to & H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi}) & \to 0 \\
 & & & \cup & & \cup & \\
 & & & F^1H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)(\overline{\chi}) & \xrightarrow[\pi']{\simeq} & F^1H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi}). &
\end{array}$$

Proposition 6.6. *The spaces* $F^2H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi)$ *and* $F^1H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi})$ *are one dimensional over* $\mathbf{C}$*.*

Proof. Using the isomorphism (4.5), we have

$$F^2H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi) = \lambda^*(H^{10}(E)(\chi) \otimes H^{10}(C)(\chi)),$$
$$F^1H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi}) = \lambda^*(H^{01}(E)(\overline{\chi}) \otimes H^{10}(C)(\overline{\chi})).$$

Thus we have the proposition. □

By the above proposition, the spaces $F^2H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi)$ and $F^1H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi})$ can be regarded as one dimensional subspaces in

$$(W_{(-1)} \otimes \mathbf{C})(\chi)^* \xleftarrow{\mu} H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)(\chi) \text{ and}$$
$$(W_{(-1)} \otimes \mathbf{C})(\overline{\chi})^* \xleftarrow{\mu} H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)(\overline{\chi}).$$

This one dimensional vector spaces are expressed by a matrix $P((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ in the following way. Let ξ and ξ' be bases of $F^2H^2(\widetilde{X})(\chi)$ and $F^1H^2(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi})$. Via the isomorphism π and π' in the above diagrams, ξ and ξ' are regarded as elements in $H^2_{dR,c}(\widetilde{X} - E_2)_\rho$. Using $\mu(B_1), \mu(B_2), \mu(B_3) \in H_2(\widetilde{X}, E_2)_\rho$, we define the period matrix $P((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ of a marked configuration $((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ as follows

$$P((x_1, x_2), \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mu(B_1), \xi \rangle & \langle \mu(B_1), \xi' \rangle \\ \langle \mu(B_2), \xi \rangle & \langle \mu(B_2), \xi' \rangle \\ \langle \mu(B_3), \xi \rangle & \langle \mu(B_3), \xi' \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\langle *, * \rangle$ is the pairing between the relative homology and the de Rham cohomology.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ be an element in $\mathcal{M}$ and $P((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ be the period matrix of $((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ defined as above. Then*

$$\frac{\langle \mu(B_1), \xi \rangle}{\langle \mu(B_2), \xi \rangle} = -\frac{\langle \mu(B_1), \xi' \rangle}{\langle \mu(B_2), \xi' \rangle}, \tag{6.5}$$

and $\eta = \dfrac{\langle \mu(B_1), \xi \rangle}{\langle \mu(B_2), \xi \rangle}$ is an element of $\mathbf{B}$. The elements η is independent of the choice of ξ and ξ'.

Proof. The spaces $H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi)$ and $H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi})$ is identified with $\mathbf{C}^2$ via the following isomorphisms:

$$\varphi : H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi) \ni a \mapsto \varphi(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mu(B_1), a \rangle \\ \langle \mu(B_2), a \rangle \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{C}^2,$$

$$\varphi' : H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi}) \ni a' \mapsto \varphi(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mu(B_1), a' \rangle \\ \langle \mu(B_2), a' \rangle \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{C}^2.$$

Let π_χ (resp. $\pi_{\overline{\chi}}$) be the image of the projection to the χ-part (resp. $\overline{\chi}$-part) according to the direct sum decomposition:

$$H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho \otimes \mathbf{C} \simeq T^*_X \otimes \mathbf{C} \simeq H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi) \oplus H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi).$$

Then we have

$$\varphi(a_1 \pi_\chi(B_1) + a_2 \pi_\chi(B_2)) = \frac{1}{3}(a_2, a_1),$$

$$\varphi'(a_1 \pi_{\overline{\chi}}(B_1) + a_2 \pi_{\overline{\chi}}(B_2)) = \frac{1}{3}(a_2, a_1).$$

Since the cup product is given by the formula (6.3), we have

$$a \cup a' = 3 \cdot {}^t \varphi(a) U \varphi'(a')$$

for $a \in H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi), a' \in H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi})$. Since ξ and ξ' are contained in $F^2 H^2_{dR}(X)(\chi)$ and $F^1 H^2_{dR}(X)(\overline{\chi})$, we have $\xi \cup \xi' = 0$. Thus equality (6.5) follows.

The complex conjugate $H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi) \to H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\overline{\chi})$ with respect to T^*_X is given by ${}^t(a_1, a_2) \to {}^t(\overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2})$ via the identification φ and φ'. By the positivity of the polarization, we have $\xi \cup \overline{\xi} > 0$ for a nonzero element $\xi \in F^2 H^2_{dR}(\widetilde{X})(\chi)$. Therefore $\mathrm{Re}(\eta) > 0$. □

Definition 6.8. *We define the period domain $\mathcal{D}$ by*

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}^2,$$

and per : $\mathcal{M}_{mk} \to \mathcal{D}$ by

$$per((x_1, x_2), \mu) = (\eta, z) \in \mathcal{D} = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}^2.$$

Here, η is defined in Proposition 6.7 and z is defined by

$$z = \left(\frac{\langle \mu(B_3), \xi \rangle}{\langle \mu(B_2), \xi \rangle}, \frac{\langle \mu(B_3), \xi' \rangle}{\langle \mu(B_2), \xi' \rangle} \right).$$

The vector z is also independent of the choice of ξ and ξ'.

6.4. **Transport of markings and a group action.**

6.4.1. *Definition of $G(1-\rho)$ and its action on $W_{(-1)}$.* We define subgroups Γ and $\Gamma(1-\rho)$ of $GL(2,\mathbf{Z}[\rho])$ by

$$\Gamma = \{g \in GL(2,\mathbf{Z}[\rho]) \mid gU\ {}^t\overline{g} = U\},$$
$$\Gamma(1-\rho) = \{g \in \Gamma \mid g \equiv I_2 \bmod (1-\rho)\},$$

and a subgroup G and $G(1-\rho)$ of $GL(3,\mathbf{Z}[\rho])$ by

$$G = \{\widetilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(3,\mathbf{Z}[\rho]) \mid \ g \in \Gamma\},$$
$$G(1-\rho) = \{\widetilde{g} \in G \mid \ \widetilde{g} \equiv id \bmod (1-\rho)\}.$$

The group $GL(3,\mathbf{Z}[\rho])$ acts on $W_{(-1)}$ from the right via the expression (6.2).

6.4.2. *The action of $G(1-\rho)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$.* Let $((x_1,x_2),\mu)$ be a marked configuration and $\widetilde{g}$ an element in $G(1-\rho)$. By taking the composite $\mu\circ\widetilde{g}$ of $\widetilde{g}$ and the marking $\mu : W_{(-1)} \to H_2(X,E_2)_\rho$, we get an action of $G(1-\rho)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$.

By the expression of the intersection form of the generic transcendental lattice of $\widetilde{X}$ obtained in Proposition 4.6, the action of $G(1-\rho)$ preserves the intersection form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_X$ on $H_2(\widetilde{X})$. As a consequence, the group $G(1-\rho)$ acts on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$ of marked configurations.

Proposition 6.9. *The quotient of $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$ by $G(1-\rho)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. The natural map $\mathcal{M}_{mk} \to \mathcal{M}$ is surjective by definition of $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$. We show that the fiber $\mathcal{M}_{mk} \to \mathcal{M}$ is transitive under the action of $G(\rho-1)$. Let (x_1,x_2) be an element in $\mathcal{M}$, and $((x_1,x_2),\mu)$ and $((x_1,x_2),\mu')$ be two marked configurations. Let $\widetilde{g} = {\mu'}^{-1}\circ\mu$ be the composite map

$${\mu'}^{-1}\circ\mu : W_{(-1)} \xrightarrow{\mu} H_2(\widetilde{X},E_2)_\rho \xrightarrow{{\mu'}^{-1}} W_{(-1)}.$$

Then $\widetilde{g}$ becomes an automorphism of $W_{(-1)}$ compatible with the ρ action on $W_{(-1)}$. Since the submodule $W_{(-2)}$ is mapped isomorphically to the subspace $H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$ under the isomorphisms μ and μ', the submodule $W_{(-2)}$ is stable under the isomorphism $\widetilde{g}$. Let g be the restriction of $\widetilde{g}$ to the submodule $W_{(2)}$. Under the identifications μ and μ' of $W_{(2)}$ with $H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$, intersection forms on $H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho$ is transformed the inner product on $W_{(-2)}$. Since g preserves the action of ρ, the hermitian form h is preserved by g. Moreover by the condition for level structures for μ and μ', $\overline{B}_1, \overline{B}_2$ and $\overline{B}_3$ are mapped to $\overline{B}_1(X), \overline{B}_2(X)$ and $\overline{B}_3(X)$ by the isomorphisms

$$\overline{\mu}, \overline{\mu'} : W_{(-1)}/(1-\rho)W_{(-1)} \simeq H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho/(1-\rho)H_2(\widetilde{X})_\rho.$$

Therefore we have $g \equiv I_3 \bmod (1-\rho)$. As a consequence, g is an element in $G(1-\rho)$. □

6.4.3. *The action of $G(1-\rho)$ on $\mathcal{D}$.* The characters χ and $\overline{\chi}$ induce ring homomorphisms $\mathbf{Z}[\rho] \to \mathbf{C}$ and they induce group homomorphisms $GL(3,\mathbf{Z}[\rho]) \to GL(3,\mathbf{C})$, which are also denoted by χ and $\overline{\chi}$.

Let B_1, B_2, B_3 be the $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-basis of $W_{(-1)}$ defined in §6.2.1. Using this basis, the group $G(1-\rho)$ acts on $W_{(-1)}$ via the identification given in (6.2). Thus an element g in $G(1-\rho)$ acts on the set of the pairs of one dimensional vector spaces

$$\left\{ (F^2, F^1) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} F^2 \subset (W_{(-1)} \otimes \mathbf{C})(\chi)^*,\ F^1 \subset (W_{(-1)} \otimes \mathbf{C})(\overline{\chi})^*, \\ \dim(F^2) = \dim(F^1) = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

This action is expressed as

$$\chi(\widetilde{g}) \times \overline{\chi}(\widetilde{g}) : (\xi\mathbf{C}, \overline{\xi}\mathbf{C}) \mapsto (\chi(\widetilde{g})\xi\mathbf{C}, \overline{\chi}(\widetilde{g})\overline{\xi}\mathbf{C}).$$

By transporting the structure, we have an action of $G(1-\rho)$ on $\mathcal{D}$, which is written as

$$(\eta, z) = (\eta, z_1, z_2) \mapsto \left(\frac{g_{11}\eta + g_{12}}{g_{21}\eta + g_{22}}, \frac{z_1 + w_1\eta + w_2}{g_{21}\eta + g_{22}}, \frac{z_2 - \overline{w_1}\eta + \overline{w_2}}{-\overline{g_{21}}\eta + \overline{g_{22}}}\right),$$

for

$$\widetilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & 0 \\ w_1 & w_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G(1-\rho).$$

As a consequence, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.10. *The above action defines an associative action. Moreover the map $\mathcal{M}_{mk} \to \mathcal{D}$ is equivariant under the action of $G(1-\rho)$. By Proposition 6.9, we have a map*

$$\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{D}/G(1-\rho). \tag{6.6}$$

6.5. **Existence of an extra involution.** Let t be an element in $\mathbf{C} - \{0,1\}$, and C be a curve defined by the equation (2.2).

Proposition 6.11. *(1) There exists a symplectic basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ and an involution ι of C satisfying the following properties.*

(a) The relation (6.4) holds.

(b) The classes $\left[\frac{1}{1-\rho}\beta_1\right]$ and $\left[\frac{1}{1-\rho}\beta_2\right]$ in $\frac{1}{1-\rho}H_1(C)/H_1(C)$ are equal to $[P_0]-[P_1]$ and $[P_t]-[P_0]$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}$ defined in Lemma 6.1.

(c) The following equalities hold:

$$\iota(\beta_1) = \beta_1, \quad \iota(\beta_2) = -\beta_2, \quad \iota \circ \rho = \rho^{-1} \circ \iota. \tag{6.7}$$

(2) Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ be a symplectic basis satisfying the conditions (a), (b) of (1). Then there exists a unique involution ι of C satisfying the equalities in (6.7).

We define an extra involution of C for a general $t \in \mathbf{C}\{0,1\}$.

Definition 6.12. *The involution ι satisfying these equalities is called the extra involution of C with respect to the symplectic basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$.*

Proof. (1) In §3.2, the existence of the above basis and the involution ι is proved in the case $(x_1^0, x_2^0) \in M$. For a general element (x_1^1, x_2^1) in $\mathcal{M}$, we choose a path starting from (x_1^0, x_2^0) and ending with a point $(x_1^1, x_2^1) \in M$. The values of t at (x_1^0, x_2^0) and (x_1^1, x_2^1) are denoted by t_0 and t_1. Let β_1^0, β_2^0 be topological cycles on C_{t_0} defined in §3.1. Since the parameter t varies continuously on (x_1, x_2), β_1^0, β_2^0 are deformed continuously and we get cycles β_1^1, β_2^1 in $H_1(C_{t_1})$, which satisfy the properties (a), (b). The involution ι_0 of the curve C_{t_0} induces an involution $\overline{\iota_0}$ of $\mathbf{P}^1$ satisfying $\overline{\iota_0}(P_0) = P_1$ and $\overline{\iota_0}(P_t) = P_\infty$. We have a deformation $\overline{\iota_t}$ of the involution of $\mathbf{P}^1$ transposing $\{P_0, P_1\}$ and $\{P_t, P_\infty\}$ and get an involution $\overline{\iota_1}$ on $\mathbf{P}^1$ for $t = t_1$. We can lift the involution $\overline{\iota_t}$ of $\mathbf{P}^1$ to an involution ι_t of C_t which is a deformation of ι_0. Since ι_t is continuous on t, the equality (c) is preserved under this deformation.

(2) Let $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ be a $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-basis of $H_1(C)$ satisfying the condition (a), (b). We choose $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$-basis $\{\beta_1', \beta_2'\}$ of $H_1(C)$, and an involution ι of C satisfying the conditions (a), (b) and (c) of (1). Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1'\\ \beta_2'\end{pmatrix} = g\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1-\rho),$$

where $\Gamma(1-\rho)$ is defined in §6.4.1. We set $D = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix}\iota(\beta_1)\\ \iota(\beta_2)\end{pmatrix} &= \overline{g}\begin{pmatrix}\iota(\beta_1')\\ \iota(\beta_2')\end{pmatrix} = \overline{g}D\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1'\\ \beta_2'\end{pmatrix} = \overline{g}DU^t\overline{g}U\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \overline{ad-bc}\cdot D\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\end{pmatrix} = \overline{\det(g)}\cdot D\begin{pmatrix}\beta_1\\ \beta_2\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in \Gamma(1-\rho)$, $\det(g)$ is a unit of $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]$ and congruent to 1 mod $(1-\rho)$. Thus there exists $p \in \{0,1,2\}$ such that $\overline{\det(g)} = \rho^p$. By setting $\iota' = \rho^p\iota\rho^{-p}$, we have $\iota' \circ \rho = \rho^{-1} \circ \iota'$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix}\iota'(\beta_1)\\ \iota'(\beta_2)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\rho^p\iota\rho^{-p}(\beta_1)\\ \rho^p\iota\rho^{-p}(\beta_2)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\rho^{-p}\iota(\beta_1)\\ \rho^{-p}\iota(\beta_2)\end{pmatrix} = \rho^{-p}\overline{\det(g)}D\begin{pmatrix}\iota(\beta_1)\\ \iota(\beta_2)\end{pmatrix} = D\begin{pmatrix}\iota(\beta_1)\\ \iota(\beta_2)\end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore ι' satisfies the condition (c). The uniqueness of ι can be proved similarly. □

Definition 6.13. *Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ be a symplectic basis satisfying the condition (a), (b) of Proposition 6.11 (1). The involution ι satisfying the condition (c) of Proposition 6.11(1) is called the extra involution associated to the basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$. The element $\iota_{C*}\beta_3 \in H_1(C, \Sigma_2)$ is denoted by β_4.*

Let $((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ be an element in $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$. By choosing a branch of $(1-x_1)^{\frac{1}{3}}(1-x_2)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we obtain a rational map $\lambda : E \times C \dashrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ as in (2.6) and elements $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ in $H_1(C, \Sigma_1)$ such that

$$\mu(B_i) = \lambda_*(\delta_E \otimes \beta_i).$$

6.6. **Coincidence of period maps for K3 surfaces and monodromy curves.**

6.6.1. *The case* $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{M}$. We compute the period matrix $P((x_1, x_2), \mu)$ for $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{M}$ using the period integrals of the curve C. Let $\lambda : E \times C \dashrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ be a rational map in (2.6), and ι_C be the extra involution associated to μ and λ. Let ψ_1 be a non-zero element of $H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)(\chi)$ and set

$$\psi_2 = \iota_C^*(\psi_1).$$

Then we have $\psi_2 \in H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)(\overline{\chi})$. We choose elements Ω_E and Ω'_E in $H^1(E)(\chi)$ and $H^1(E)(\overline{\chi})$ such that

$$\lambda^*\xi = \Omega_E \wedge \psi_1, \quad \lambda^*\overline{\xi} = \Omega'_E \wedge \psi_2.$$

We choose elements $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ in $H_1(C, \Sigma_1)$ such that

$$\mu(B_i) = \lambda_*(\delta_E \otimes \beta_i).$$

By setting

$$c_E = \int_{\delta_E} \Omega_E, \quad \overline{c_E} = \int_{\delta_E} \Omega'_E,$$

we have

$$\langle \mu(B_i), \xi \rangle = \langle \lambda_*(\delta_E \otimes \beta_i), \lambda^*(\xi) \rangle = \langle \delta_E \otimes \beta_i, \Omega_E \wedge \psi_1 \rangle = c_E \int_{\beta_i} \psi_1,$$

$$\langle \mu(B_i), \overline{\xi} \rangle = \langle \delta_E \otimes \beta_i, \Omega'_E \wedge \psi_2 \rangle = \overline{c_E} \int_{\beta_i} \psi_2 = \overline{c_E} \int_{\beta_i} \iota_C^*\psi_1 = \overline{c_E} \int_{\iota_{C*}\beta_i} \psi_1.$$

Since

$$\iota_{C*}\beta_1 = \beta_1, \quad \iota_{C*}\beta_2 = -\beta_2, \quad \iota_{C*}\beta_3 = \beta_4,$$

we have

(6.8)

$$P((x_1, x_2), \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_1 \\ y_2 & -y_2 \\ y_3 & y_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_E & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{c_E} \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_i = \int_{\beta_i} \psi_1 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

Therefore by Definition 6.8, we have

$$per((x_1, x_2), \mu)) = (\frac{y_1}{y_2}, \frac{y_3}{y_2}, \frac{y_4}{y_2}). \tag{6.9}$$

Definition 6.14. *We define a map*

$$\jmath_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \ni (\eta, z) = (\eta, z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\tau(\eta), \zeta(z)) \in \mathbf{H}_2 \times \mathbf{C}^2$$

by

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-3}\eta^{-1} & -1 \\ -1 & \sqrt{-3}\eta \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \left((\frac{z_1}{1-\omega} - \frac{z_2}{1-\omega^2})\eta^{-1}, \frac{z_1}{1-\omega} + \frac{z_2}{1-\omega^2} \right).$$

By the equality (6.9), τ is the normalized period matrix of the curve C for the symplectic base $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$. We define the Jacobian $J(C)$ of the curve C by

$$J(C) = \mathbf{C}^2/(\mathbf{Z}^2\tau \oplus \mathbf{Z}^2).$$

The class of ζ in the Jacobian $J(C)$ is equal to the image of Abel-Jacobi map for the integral on the path l_{1-x_1} defined in §6.1.

6.6.2. *The relation between $P((x_1,x_2),\mu)$ and integrals φ_i for $(x_1,x_2)\in M$.* We give explicit computations of the period matrix in the case $(x_1,x_2)\in M$ given in (5.1). We choose the marking μ by setting $\mu(B_i)=B_i^*$ for $i=1,2,3$, where B_i^* are defined in Proposition 5.5. By this choice of μ, the period matrix $P((x_1,x_2),\mu)$ in (6.8) is computed from the integrals $\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varphi_3,\varphi_4$ in (5.2) as follows. We choose Ω_E and Ω'_E so that $c_E=\overline{c_E}$. By the relation in Proposition 5.4, y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4 in the left hand side of (6.8) are given by

$$c_E y_1=\omega(1-\omega^2)\varphi_2,\quad c_E y_2=(1-\omega^2)\varphi_1+(1-\omega^2)\varphi_2,$$
$$c_E y_3=(1-\omega)\varphi_3,\quad c_E y_4=(1-\omega^2)\varphi_4-\omega^2(1-\omega^2)\varphi_2.$$

6.7. **Modular embedding.** We set

$$Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})=\Big\{g\in GL_4(\mathbf{Z})\Big|\ {}^t gJg=J=\begin{pmatrix}&-I\\ I&\end{pmatrix}\Big\},$$
$$\mathbf{H}_2=\{\tau\in GL_2(\mathbf{C})\mid\ {}^t\tau=\tau,\quad \mathrm{Im}(\tau)>0\}.$$

We introduce a symplectic form on $\mathbf{Z}[\rho]\beta_1\oplus\mathbf{Z}[\rho]\beta_2$ by (3.3). Then $\alpha_1=\rho(\beta_2),\alpha_2=\rho(\beta_1),\beta_1,\beta_2$ form a symplectic basis. Using this basis, we have inclusions $\jmath_\Gamma:\Gamma\to Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\jmath_G:G(1-\rho)\to Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})\ltimes\mathbf{Z}^4$. More concretely, they are written as

$$\jmath_H:\mathbf{Z}[\rho]^2\ni(r_1+r_2\rho,s_1+s_2\rho)=(s_2,r_2,r_1,s_1)\in\mathbf{Z}^4,$$
$$\jmath_\Gamma:\Gamma\ni g=g_1+g_2\rho\mapsto\begin{pmatrix}U(g_1-g_2)U&-Ug_2\\ g_2U&g_1\end{pmatrix}\in Sp_2(\mathbf{Z}),$$
$$\jmath_G:G(1-\rho)\ni g=\begin{pmatrix}g&0\\ b&1\end{pmatrix}\ \mapsto\jmath_\Gamma(g)\ltimes\jmath_H(b)\in Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})\ltimes\mathbf{Z}^4.$$

By the construction, and the expression of ζ in (3.11), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.15. *The inclusion $\jmath_{\mathcal{D}}$ is compatible with the action of $G(1-\rho)$through $\jmath_G$ That is, we have*

$$\jmath_{\mathcal{D}}(g\cdot x)=\jmath_G(g)\cdot\jmath_{\mathcal{D}}(x),\quad x\in\mathcal{D},\ g\in G(1-\rho).$$

7. Theta values and parameters of configurations

In this section, we show that the image of the period map $per:\mathcal{M}_{mk}\to\mathcal{D}$ coincides with the zero locus $V(\vartheta)$ of a theta function $\vartheta=\vartheta_{(-2,-1,1,2)/3}(\jmath_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta,z))$. Moreover, the map (6.6) induces an isomorphism $\overline{per}:\mathcal{M}\to V(\vartheta)/G(1-\rho)$. We construct the inverse of $\overline{per}$ using modular embedding defined in Definition 6.8 and theta functions (Theorem 7.6).

7.1. **Theta function of the Jacobian $J(C)$ and inverse period map.** The theta function $\vartheta_{a,b}$ of $(\tau,\zeta)\in\mathbf{H}_2\times\mathbf{C}^2$ with characteristics (a,b) $(a,b\in\mathbf{Q}^2)$ is defined by

$$\vartheta_{a,b}(\tau,\zeta)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}^2}\exp[\pi\sqrt{-1}\{(n+a)\tau\ {}^t(n+a)+2(n+a)\ {}^t(\zeta+b)\}].$$

In this section we study period map and its inverse using theta functions. The image of the map $\mathcal{M}_{mk}\to\mathcal{D}$ is characterized by the following theorem.

Definition 7.1. *We define an analytic space $V(\vartheta)$ of $\mathcal{D}$ by*

$$V(\vartheta)=\{(\eta,z)\in\mathcal{D}\mid\vartheta_{(-2,-1,1,2)/3}(\jmath_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta,z))=0\}.$$

Theorem 7.2. *The image of per : $\mathcal{M}_{mk}\to\mathcal{D}$ coincides with $V(\vartheta)$.*

We fix a real number t satisfying $0<t<1$. Let $C=C_t$ be the curve defined in (2.2). We choose $x_1\in\mathbf{R}$ such that $0<x_1<1$. Then x_2 is determined by the equality (2.5). We use the symplectic basis in §3.1.

Let $p=(u,w)$ be the point on the second sheet in C with $u=1-x_1$, and δ_3 be a path from P_0 to p on this sheet. Then $\zeta\in\mathbf{C}^2$ defined in (3.11) is a vector-valued function on x_1. This function is continued analytically to a holomorphic function $\zeta(\widetilde{p})$ on the universal covering $\widetilde{C}$ of C. Since $\zeta(\widetilde{p})$ is a multivalued function on p in C, the function

$$\vartheta_{a,b}(p)=\vartheta_{a,b}(\tau(\eta),\zeta(p))$$

is a multivalued function on p. By the quasi periodicity of the theta function, a zero point of this function and its order are well defined on $J(C)$. Riemann's theorem states that if $\vartheta_{a,b}(p)$ is not identically zero then it has two zero points with counting multiplicity.

Lemma 7.3. *For $m\in\mathbf{Z}^2$, the order of zero of*

$$\vartheta_{[m]}(p)=\vartheta_{-mU/3,m/3}(p)$$

at $p=P_i$ $(i=0,1,t,\infty)$ is congruent to

$$(-1)^{r_i}(m+p_i)U\ {}^t(m+p_i)\bmod 3.$$

Here $P_i\in C$ and $p_i\in\mathbf{Z}^2$ are given in (5.4) and (6.1), respectively, and

$$r_0=1,\quad r_1=2,\quad r_t=2,\quad r_\infty=1.$$

Proof. We use the following fundamental property: if a holomorphic function f around $z=0$ satisfies

$$\lim_{z\to0}\frac{f(\omega z)}{f(z)}=\omega^k\quad(k=0,1,2)$$

then the order of zero of $f(z)$ at $z=0$ is congruent to k modulo 3.

We consider the pull back $\rho^*(\vartheta_{[m]})(p)$ of $\vartheta_{[m]}(p)$ under the covering transformation ρ. One can choose a local parameter v of P_0 (resp. P_1, P_t and P_∞) on a neighborhood U_0 (resp. U_1, U_t and U_∞) such that $\rho^*v=\omega v$ (resp.

$\rho^* v = \omega^2 v$, $\rho^* v = \omega^2 v$ and $\rho^* v = \omega v$). For a point p in the neighborhood U_i, we choose a path from P_i to p in U_i and define a function

$$\vartheta^i_{[m]}(p) = \vartheta_{-(m+p_i)U/3,(m+p_i)/3}\left(\tau, \int_{P_i}^{p} \Psi\right), \quad \Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)(\tau_B)^{-1}$$

on U_i, where ψ_1, ψ_2 is defined in §3.1. Then we have $\vartheta_{[m]}(p) = h(p)\vartheta^i_{[m]}(p)$ for a non-zero holomorphic function $h(p)$.

We compute the limit $\lim_{p \to P_i} \dfrac{\rho^*(\vartheta^i_{[m]}(p))}{\vartheta^i_{[m]}(p)}$ as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\rho^*(\vartheta^i_{[m]}(p))}{\vartheta^i_{[m]}(p)} &= \frac{\vartheta_{-(m+p_i)U/3,(m+p_i)/3}\left(\tau, \int_{P_i}^{p} \rho^*(\Psi)\right)}{\vartheta_{-(m+p_i)U/3,(m+p_i)/3}\left(\tau, \int_{P_i}^{p} \Psi\right)} \\
&= \frac{\vartheta_{-(m+p_i)U/3,(m+p_i)/3}\left(\tau, \int_{P_i}^{p} \Psi(-U\tau - I_2)^{-1}\right)}{\vartheta_{-(m+p_i)U/3,(m+p_i)/3}\left(\tau, \int_{P_i}^{p} \Psi\right)} \\
&= \frac{\vartheta_{-(m+p_i)U/3,(m+p_i)/3}\left(\tau^{\#}, \zeta^{\#}\right)}{\vartheta_{-(m+p_i)U/3,(m+p_i)/3}\left(\tau, \zeta\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we set $\tau^{\#} = \tau$, $\zeta = \int_{P_i}^{p} \Psi$ and $\zeta^{\#} = \zeta(-U\tau - I_2)^{-1}$, and use

$$\rho^*(\Psi) = (\psi_1, \psi_2) W (\tau_B)^{-1} = \Psi(U\tau) = \Psi(-U\tau - I_2)^{-1},$$

where $W = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Applying Corollary in p.85 and Corollary in p.176 of [I] to $\sigma = \rho^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} O & U \\ -U & -I_2 \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_2(\mathbf{Z})$, we can compute the limit of the last row as $p \to P_i$, and

$$\lim_{p \to P_i} \frac{\rho^*(\vartheta^i_{[m]}(p))}{\vartheta^i_{[m]}(p)} = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{3}(-1)^{r_i}(m+p_i)U\ {}^t(m+p_i)\right).$$

The fundamental property yields this lemma. □

Lemma 7.3 yields a list of orders of zero of $\vartheta_{[m]}(p)$ at P_i $(i = 0, 1, t, \infty)$ modulo 3.

Proof of Theorem 7.2. We fix an element $\eta \in \mathbf{B}$. By Table 1, $\vartheta_{[1,2]}(p) = \vartheta_{(-2,-1,1,2)/3}(\tau(\eta), \zeta(p))$ has zeros more then 2 (see [M]). Riemann's theorem implies that this function of $p \in C$ is identically zero. Since the theta divisor is irreducible, the image of the period $[\zeta(p)] \in J(C)$ coincides with

$$\{[\zeta] \in J(C) \mid \vartheta_{(-2,-1,1,2)/3}(\tau(\eta), \zeta) = 0\}.$$

□

We have the following inverse period map for pairs (C, p) of curve C and a point p on it.

$[m]\diagdown p$	P_0	P_1	P_t	P_∞
$[2,1]$	2	0	0	0
$[0,1]$	0	2	0	0
$[2,0]$	0	0	2	0
$[0,0]$	0	0	0	2
$[1,1]$	1	1	0	0
$[2,2]$	1	0	1	0
$[0,2]$	0	1	0	1
$[1,0]$	0	0	1	1
$[1,2]$	2	2	2	2

TABLE 1. List of orders of zero of $\vartheta_{[m]}(p)$

Theorem 7.4. *Let $p=(u,w)$ be a point of C. The meromorphic function u on C is expressed as*

$$u = 1 - \frac{\vartheta^3_{[0,1]}(p)}{\vartheta^3_{[0,2]}(p)} = 1 - \frac{\vartheta^3_{(-1,0,0,1)/3}(\tau(\eta),\zeta(p))}{\vartheta^3_{(-2,0,0,2)/3}(\tau(\eta),\zeta(p))}. \tag{7.1}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{t} = 1 - \frac{\vartheta^3_{(0,0,0,0)/3}(\tau(\eta))}{\vartheta^3_{(-1,0,0,1)/3}(\tau(\eta))}.$$

Remark 7.5. *The above formula for t gives the inverse of the Schwartz map referred in Remark 3.4.*

Proof. (1) The divisor of the meromorphic function $1-u$ is $3P_1-3P_\infty$. By Table 1, $\vartheta_{[0,1]}(p)^3/\vartheta_{[0,2]}(p)^3$ has the same divisor. Thus their ratio is a constant. By putting $p=P_0$, we see that this constant is 1. We obtain the expression of $1/t$ by substituting $p=P_t$ into (7.1) and using the formula

$$\vartheta_{a,b}(\tau, c\tau+d) = \exp[-\pi\sqrt{-1}(c\tau\ {}^t c + 2c\ {}^t(b+d))]\vartheta_{a+c,b+d}(\tau,0)$$

for $a,b,c,d\in\mathbf{Q}^2$. □

7.2. **Inverse period map for configuration space.** Using Theorem 7.4, we give the inverse period map $V(\vartheta)/G(1-\rho)\to\mathcal{M}$ in terms of theta functions.

Theorem 7.6. *Let $((x_1,x_2),\mu)$ be an element in $\mathcal{M}_{mk}$ and $(\eta,\zeta)=per((x_1,x_2),\mu)\in\mathcal{D}$ be the image of (x_1,x_2,μ) under the period map per defined in Definition 6.8. Let $\tau=\tau(\eta)$ be an element in $\mathbf{H}_2$ defined in §6.7.*

Then the element $(x_1,x_2)\in\mathcal{M}$ is obtained by the following theta values:

$$x_1 = \frac{\vartheta^3_{(-1,0,0,1)/3}(\tau,\zeta)}{\vartheta^3_{(-2,0,0,2)/3}(\tau,\zeta)},$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\vartheta^3_{(-1,0,0,1)/3}(\tau,\iota^*(\zeta))}{\vartheta^3_{(-2,0,0,2)/3}(\tau,\iota^*(\zeta))},$$

where

$$\iota(\tau,\zeta)=(\tau,\iota^*(\zeta)),\quad \iota^*(\zeta)=\zeta\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}+\left(0,\frac{\sqrt{3}\mathbf{i}}{3}\eta\right).$$

Proof. By the condition of x_1,x_2, we have $x_1x_2(1-x_1)(1-x_2)(1-x_1-x_2)\neq 0$. We have a curve C_t for $t=\dfrac{1-x_1-x_2}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}\in(0,1)$ and a point $p\in C_t$ whose u-coordinate is $1-x_1$. Apply to (7.1) for the point $p\in C_t$. Then we have

$$1-x_1=1-\frac{\vartheta^3_{(-1,0,0,1)/3}(\tau,\zeta)}{\vartheta^3_{(-2,0,0,2)/3}(\tau,\zeta)}.$$

This gives an expression of x_1 in terms of theta values at (τ,ζ). To obtain the expression of x_2, we use theta values at $(\tau,\iota^*(\zeta))$ instead of (τ,ζ) in the above expression. The vector $\iota^*(\zeta)$ is computed by $\dot{y}'_3$ and $\dot{y}'_4$ in stead of y'_3 and y'_4, where

$$\dot{y}'_3=\int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_4}\Omega_X\quad \dot{y}'_4=\int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_3}\Omega_X-\omega^2\int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_2}\Omega_X.$$

Comparing with (5.6), (3.7) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\iota^*(\zeta)&=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{y}'_3+\dot{y}'_4}{y_1},\frac{\dot{y}'_3-\dot{y}'_4}{y_2}\right)\\&=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y'_3+y'_4}{y_1},\frac{y'_4-y'_3+(2\omega^2/(\omega-1))y_1}{y_2}\right)\\&=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y'_3+y'_4}{y_1},-\frac{y'_3-y'_4}{y_2}\right)+\left(0,\frac{\sqrt{3}\mathbf{i}}{3}\eta\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

References

[D] P. Deligne, Théorie de Hodge II, III, Publ. Math. IHES **40**(1971), 5–57, **44**(1974), 5–77.

[I] J. Igusa, *Theta Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.

[M] D. Mumford, Curves and their Jacobians, in The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes, Lecture Note in Math 1358, Springer.

[MS] K. Matsumoto and H. Shiga, A variant of Jacobi type formula for Picard curves, J. Math. Soc. Japan., **62**(2010), 305–319.

[MSTY] K. Matsumoto, T. Sasaki, T. Terasoma and M. Yoshida, An example of Schwarz map of reducible Appell's hypergeometric equation E_2 in two variables, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan.

[S] T. Shioda, On the Mordell-Weil lattices, Comment. Math. Univ. Sancti-Pauli, **39** (1990), p. 211–240.

(Matsumoto) Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

E-mail address: matsu@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

(Terasoma) Graduate school of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8914 Japan

E-mail address: terasoma@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp